

Therapeutic Class Review HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors – (Statins) Combination Products

I. Overview

The combination hydroxymethylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors (commonly referred to as "statins") include fixed-dose combinations of atorvastatin with amlodipine, lovastatin with extended-release niacin, and simvastatin with ezetimibe. All agents are formulated for oral administration. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors work by inhibiting HMG-CoA reductase. HMG-CoA reductase is the rate-limiting enzyme in the hepatic cholesterol synthesis, which catalyzes the conversion of HMG-CoA to mevalonate, a cholesterol precursor. Cholesterol synthesis reduction leads to the up-regulation of hepatic low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) receptors and subsequently an enhanced clearance of circulating LDL-C.

Niacin (nicotinic acid) is a water-soluble, B complex vitamin.² The exact mechanism by which niacin lowers cholesterol and triglycerides is not completely understood but is independent of the drug's role as a vitamin. Reductions in LDL-C through reduced hepatic synthesis of very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C) are primarily responsible for the antilipemic effect of niacin.^{2,3} Niacin may decrease production of VLDL-C by partially inhibiting mobilization of free fatty acids from adipose tissue, decreasing delivery of free fatty acids to the liver, decreasing triglyceride synthesis and altering the hepatic production of apolipoprotein B. Niacin increases high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) by reducing its catabolism.

Ezetimibe, a cholesterol absorption inhibitor, blocks dietary and biliary cholesterol absorption from the small intestine, leading to a decrease in hepatic cholesterol stores, an increase in hepatic cholesterol sequestering from the circulation, and ultimately lower systemic cholesterol levels. Ezetimibe differs chemically and pharmacologically from other antilipemic agents, and because of its different mechanism of action it has been investigated for possible additive effects on lipid levels. Clinical studies documented in the product information for ezetimibe showed that when used alone, it reduced LDL-C by up to 18% while increasing HDL-C by up to 3%. Product information for the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination cites LDL-C reductions of up to 60% with an increase in HDL-C levels of up to 10%.

Amlodipine is a dihydropyridine calcium-channel blocking agent that is indicated for the treatment of hypertension, for the treatment of chronic stable angina or vasospastic angina, and to reduce the risks of hospitalization or revascularization in patients with angiographically confirmed coronary artery disease (CAD).^{8,9}

All combination statins are FDA-approved for the treatment of primary hyperlipidemia. Atorvastatin-amlodipine and lovastatin-niacin extended-release combination products are also indicated for the prevention of cardiovascular events. Simvastatin-ezetimibe lacks the indication for primary and/or secondary prevention of cardiovascular events. In general, combination statins are appropriate when both components of the formulation are indicated.

At present, no combination statins are available generically. Some of their components, namely lovastatin, simvastatin, and amlodipine, are available generically. This review does not include information on Simcor®, a combination of simvastatin and extended-release niacin, which was reviewed separately by the DUR Board in June 2008.





Combination HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors that are included in this review are listed in Table 1. This review encompasses all dosage forms and strengths.

Table 1. Combination HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors Included in this Review

Generic Name*	Formulation(s)	Example Brand Name(s)
atorvastatin and amlodipine	tablet	Caduet [®]
lovastatin and niacin	extended-release tablet	Advicor [®]
simvastatin and ezetimibe	tablet	Vytorin [®]

^{*}No generic products are available in this class.

All statins lower cholesterol levels. However, the degree to which individual agents lower cholesterol level varies. The lipid-lowering effects with combination statins are noted in Table 2. Other drug products contained within these combinations offer added benefits for the indications noted in Tables 4-6.

Table 2. Combination HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors Effects on Cholesterol Levels^{7,10,11}

Drug	Daily Dosage	TC ↓ (%)	LDL-C↓	TG↓	HDL-C ↑ (%)
			(%)	(%)	
atorvastatin-amlodipine	10 mg-80 mg*	↓ 29-45	↓ 39-60	↓ 19-37	↑ 5-6
lovastatin-niacin	20 mg/1,000 mg to	Not reported	↓ 30-42	↓ 32-44	↑ 20-30
	40 mg/2,000 mg†				
simvastatin-ezetimibe	10 mg/10 mg to 80 mg/10 mg	↓ 31-43	↓ 45-60	↓ 23-31	↑ 6-8

HDL-C=high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C =low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, TC=total cholesterol, TG=triglycerides

II. **Evidence-Based Medicine and Current Treatment Guidelines**

Current treatment guidelines that incorporate combination HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Treatment Guidelines	Using the Combination HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors
Clinical Guideline	Recommendation
National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA): Implications of Recent Clinical Trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III Guidelines (2004) ¹³	 Therapeutic lifestyle changes (TLC) remain an essential modality in clinical management. When low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C)-lowering drug therapy is employed in high-risk or moderately high-risk persons, it is advised that intensity of therapy be sufficient to achieve at least a 30%-40% reduction in LDL-C levels. If drug therapy is a component of cholesterol management for a given patient, it is prudent to employ doses that will achieve at least a moderate-risk reduction. Standard statin doses are defined as those that lower LDL-C levels by 30%-40%. The same effect may be achieved by combining lower doses of statins with other drugs or products (eg, bile acid sequestrants, ezetimibe, nicotinic acid, or plant stanols/sterols). When LDL-C level is well above 130 mg/dL (eg, ≥160 mg/dL), the dose of statin may have to be increased or a second agent (eg, a bile acid sequestrant, ezetimibe, or nicotinic acid) may be required. Alternatively, maximizing dietary therapy (including use of plant stanols/sterols) combined with standard statin doses may be sufficient to attain goals.
	For the treatment of heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (FH)
	Begin LDL-C-lowering drugs in young adulthood. TRACT IN COLUMN 18 COLU
	TLC indicated for all persons.
	• Statins: first line of therapy (start dietary therapy simultaneously).





^{*}The LDL-C lowering effect found in the atorvastatin-amlodipine package insert was attributed only to atorvastatin monotherapy; the figures for this combination are calculated from two studies of atorvastatin therapy in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia.

[†]Based on the package insert; the lower limit in these ranges is for patients titrated up to the 20 mg/1,000 mg dose over 12 weeks; the upper limit is for the same patients titrated up to the maximum dose of 40 mg/2,000 mg daily over 28 weeks.

Clinical Guideline	Recommendation
	Bile acid sequestrants (if necessary in combination with statins).
	• If needed, consider triple-drug therapy (statins and bile acid sequestrants and nicotinic acid).
	 For the treatment of homozygous FH Statins may be moderately effective in some persons. LDL-pheresis currently employed therapy (in some persons, statin therapy may slow down rebound hypercholesterolemia).
	For the treatment of familial defective apolipoprotein B-100 (FDB) • TLC indicated.
	 All LDL-C-lowering drugs are effective. Combined drug therapy required less often than in heterozygous FH.
National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP): Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III [ATP III]) Final Report (2002) ¹⁴	 For the treatment of polygenic hypercholesterolemia TLC indicated for all persons. All LDL-C-lowering drugs are effective. If necessary to reach LDL-C goals, consider combined drug therapy. General Recommendations With regards to TLC, higher dietary intakes of omega-3 fatty acids in the form of fatty fish or vegetable oils are an option for reducing risk for coronary heart disease (CHD). This recommendation is optional because the strength of evidence is only moderate at present. NCEP ATP III supports the AHA's recommendation that fish be included as part of a CHD risk-reduction diet. Fish in general is low in saturated fat and may contain some cardioprotective omega-3 fatty acids. However, a dietary recommendation for a specific amount of omega-3 fatty acids is not made. Initiate low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-lowering drug therapy with a statin, bile acid sequestrant or nicotinic acid. Statins should be considered as first-line drugs when LDL-lowering drugs are indicated to achieve LDL-C treatment goals. After 6 weeks if LDL-C goal is not achieved, intensify LDL-lowering therapy. Consider a higher dose of a statin or add a bile acid sequestrant or nicotinic acid.
	 Statins Statins should be considered as first-line drugs when LDL-lowering drugs are indicated to achieve LDL treatment goals.
American Heart Association (AHA)/American College of Cardiology (ACC) National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI): AHA/ACC Guidelines for Secondary Prevention for Patients With Coronary and Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2006 Update (2006) ¹⁵	 For patients without atherosclerotic disease, including those with other risk factors, recommendations of the NCEP ATP III guidelines and their 2004 update should still be considered current. Therapeutic options to reduce non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) include the following: more intense LDL-C lowering therapy, or niacin (after LDL-C lowering therapy) or fibrate therapy (after LDL-C lowering therapy).
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI): Healthcare Guideline: Lipid Management in Adults (2007) ¹⁶	 For monotherapy, statins are the drugs of choice for lowering LDL. If a patient is intolerant to a statin, other statins should be tried before ruling them all out. If patients are unable to take statins, then bile acid sequestrants, ezetimibe, fibric acids and niacin can be used.





Clinical Guideline	Recommendation
American Heart Association (AHA): Drug Therapy of High-Risk Lipid Abnormalities in Children and Adolescents: a Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association (2007) ¹⁷	 Although combination therapy is not supported by outcome-based studies, some highrisk patients will require it. Using low doses of two complementary agents can often reduce LDL to a greater extent than a higher dose of either agent, such as when a statin is combined with either ezetimibe or a bile acid sequestrant, with fewer side effects. In very resistant cases, triple therapy may be needed. For children meeting criteria for lipid-lowering drug therapy, a statin is recommended as first-line treatment. The choice of statin is dependent upon preference but should be initiated at the lowest dose once daily, usually at bedtime. For patients with high-risk lipid abnormalities, the presence of additional risk factors or high-risk conditions may reduce the recommended LDL level for initiation of drug therapy and the desired target LDL levels. Therapy may also be considered for initiation in patients <10 years of age. Additional research regarding drug therapy of high-risk lipid abnormalities in children is needed to evaluate the long-term efficacy and safety and impact on the atherosclerotic disease process.
European Guidelines on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice: Fourth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and Other Societies (2007) ¹⁸	 Statins are considered first-line drugs for lowering LDL-C. Statins are considered first-line drugs for lowering LDL cholesterol. When TG are between ~450-900 mg/dL, statins (or fibrates) may be considered as first-choice drugs. Combination therapy may be used in patients needing additional therapy to reach goals and the selection of appropriate drugs should vary based upon lipid levels.

III. Indications

All HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) should be used as adjuncts to a diet restricted in saturated fat and cholesterol for the reduction of total cholesterol (TC) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. 1,19-22 Combination statins should not be used as initial therapy but have a role in the consolidation of therapy in patients already stabilized on the separate entities. While agents within this therapeutic class may have demonstrated positive activity via in vitro trials, the clinical significance of this activity remains unknown until fully demonstrated in well-controlled, peer-reviewed in vivo clinical trials. As such, this review and the recommendations provided are based exclusively upon the results of such clinical trials.

As monotherapy, ezetimibe is indicated as adjunctive therapy to diet for the reduction of elevated TC, LDL-C, and apolipoprotein (apo) B in patients with primary (heterozygous familial and nonfamilial) hypercholesterolemia. Ezetimibe monotherapy is also indicated in patients with homozygous familial sitosterolemia. FDA-approved indications for the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination product (Vytorin®) are noted in Table 4. Note that the effects of simvastatin-ezetimibe on cardiovascular morbidity/mortality end points have not yet been established.

The atorvastatin-amlodipine combination product (Caduet®) is indicated in patients for whom treatment with both atorvastatin and amlodipine is appropriate. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved indications for atorvastatin are noted in Table 4, and those for amlodipine in Table 5.

The lovastatin-niacin combination product (Advicor®) is indicated in patients for whom treatment with both lovastatin and extended-release niacin is appropriate. ¹¹ FDA-approved indications for lovastatin are noted in Table 4, and those for extended-release niacin (Niaspan®) in Table 6.





Table 4. FDA-Approved Indications for Atorvastatin, Lovastatin, and Simvastatin-Ezetimihe^{5,7,10,11,19-21}

Indication	Atorvastatin	Lovastatin	Simvastatin-	
			Ezetimibe	
Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease		1		
Primary prevention of cardiovascular events (patients without clinically	* †	~		
evident coronary heart disease [CHD]); to reduce the risk of:				
Angina	*	✓ ‡ (Unstable)		
Myocardial infarction	* * †	* ‡		
Revascularization procedures	✓ *	✓ ‡ (Coronary)		
Stroke	v *†			
Secondary prevention of cardiovascular events (patients with clinically	>			
evident CHD) to reduce the risk of:				
Angina	>			
Hospitalization for congestive heart failure (CHF)	>			
Myocardial infarction (nonfatal)	→			
Revascularization procedures	→			
Stroke (fatal and nonfatal)	→			
Coronary atherosclerosis, slowing its progression in patients with CHD,		~		
as part of a treatment strategy to lower total and low-density lipoprotein				
cholesterol (LDL-C) to target levels				
Treatment of Dyslipidemias				
Primary hypercholesterolemia (heterozygous familial and nonfamilial;	✓ §	✓ §	✓ §	
Fredrickson Type IIa) and mixed dyslipidemia (Fredrickson Type IIb)	-			
To reduce:				
TC	>	<	~	
LDL-C	>	~	~	
Apo B	>		~	
Triglyceride (TG)	>		~	
Non– high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)			~	
To increase:				
HDL-C	→		~	
Homozygous familial hyperlipidemia, as an adjunct to other lipid-	>		>	
lowering treatments (eg, low-density lipoprotein [LDL] apheresis) or if				
such treatments are unavailable				
To reduce:				
TC	>		>	
LDL-C	>		>	
Primary dysbetalipoproteinemia (Fredrickson Type III)	>	=		
Hypertriglyceridemia, elevated serum TG levels (Fredrickson Type IV)	>	II		
Elevated chylomicrons (Fredrickson Types I and V)	II	ii		
Heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) in pediatric	√ §	#		
patients, 10-17 years old, boys and postmenarchal girls¶	3			

^{*}In adult patients with multiple risk factors for coronary heart disease such as age, smoking, hypertension, low HDL-C, or a family history of early coronary heart disease

¶To reduce TC, LDL-C and apolipoprotein B levels if after an adequate trial of diet therapy the following findings are present:

- 1. LDL-C remains >189 mg/dL or
- 2. LDL-C remains >160 mg/dL and either (a) there is a positive family history of premature cardiovascular disease or (b) 2 or more other CVD risk factors are present





[†]In patients with type 2 diabetes, and without clinically evident coronary heart disease, but with multiple risk factors for coronary heart disease such as retinopathy, albuminuria, smoking, or hypertension

[‡]In individuals with average to moderately elevated TC and LDL-C, and below average HDL-C

[§]As an adjunct to diet, or after inadequate response to diet and other nonpharmacological measures

Il Has not been studied for this condition.

#Single-entity lovastatin is indicated as an adjunct to diet, or after inadequate response to diet and other nonpharmacological measures, for heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia in boys and postmenarchal girls 10-17 years old; however, the combination lovastatin-niacin product (Advicor®) is not indicated in this population.

Table 5. FDA-Approved Indications for Amlodipine^{9,10}

Indication	Amlodipine
For the treatment of hypertension, alone or in combination with other antihypertensive agents	✓
For the treatment of chronic stable angina, alone or in combination with other antianginal or other	✓
antihypertensive agents	
For the treatment of confirmed or suspected vasospastic angina (Prinzmetal's or variant angina),	✓
alone or in combination with other antianginal agents	
In patients with recently documented coronary artery disease by angiography, without heart failure or	✓
an ejection fraction <40%, to reduce the risk of hospitalization due to angina and to reduce the risk of	
a coronary revascularization procedure	

Table 6. FDA-Approved Indications for Extended-Release Niacin (Niaspan®)²³

Indication	Niacin, Extended- Release (Niaspan®)
Adjunct to diet for reduction of elevated TC, LDL-C, apo B and TG levels, and to increase HDL-C in	✓
patients with primary hypercholesterolemia (heterozygous familial and nonfamilial) and mixed	
dyslipidemia (Fredrickson Types IIa and IIb) when the response to an appropriate diet has been	
inadequate	
In combination with lovastatin, treatment of primary hypercholesterolemia and mixed dyslipidemia	✓
To reduce the risk of recurrent nonfatal myocardial infarction in patients with a history of myocardial	✓
infarction and hypercholesterolemia	
Adjunctive therapy for treatment of adult patients with very high serum triglyceride levels	
(Fredrickson Types IV and V hyperlipidemia) who present a risk of pancreatitis and who do not	
respond adequately to a determined dietary effort to control them	

^{*}Unlike extended-release niacin (Niaspan®), the lovastatin-niacin combination product (Advicor®) is not indicated in combination with bile acid binding resins.

IV. Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetic parameters for the combination HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) are summarized in Table 7. Minor differences exist between the statins in regards to pharmacokinetic parameters. Half-life is one parameter that separates some statins from others. The pharmacokinetic parameters of the combination products are not significantly different from the pharmacokinetic parameters of the individual components.

Table 7. Pharmacokinetic Parameters of the Combination HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors^{5-11,19-25}

Drug(s)	Absolute	Protein	Lipid	Metabolism	Active Metabolites	Half-Life
Diug(s)	Bioavailability (%)	Binding (%)	Solubility	Wietabolishi	Active Metabolites	(hours)
Atorvastatin- amlodipine	14; 64-90	≥98; 93	Hydrophilic; not reported	Hepatic, CYP3A4	Yes, 2-hydroxy- and 4- hydroxy-atorvastatin acid; None	14-30; 30-50
Lovastatin- niacin	<5; 60-76	>95; 20	Lipophilic; not reported	Hepatic, CYP3A4; Hepatic	Yes, beta-hydroxyacid and 6-hydroxy derivatives; Yes, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide	1.1-4.5; 0.3-0.8
Simvastatin- ezetimibe	5; Not reported	95; >90	Lipophilic; not reported	Hepatic, CYP3A4; Hepatic, glucuronide conjugation	Yes, beta-hydroxyacid of simvastatin; Yes, ezetimibe glucuronide	Not reported; 22





V. Drug Interactions

Significant drug interactions with the combination HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) are listed in Table 8. The drug interactions with the combination products are not significantly different from the drug interactions with the individual components.

Table 8. Significant Drug-Drug Interactions with the Combination HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors⁶

Drug(s)	Significance	Interaction	e Combination HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors ^o Mechanism
2148(0)	Level	22202 400202	112001111111111111111111111111111111111
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (atorvastatin, lovastatin, simvastatin)	1	Amiodarone	Amiodarone may decrease the elimination of certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors by inhibiting their metabolism via CYP3A4 resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic effects (ie, myositis, rhabdomyolysis) of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Decrease HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor dose accordingly; monitor for toxicity. Fluvastatin, pravastatin, and rosuvastatin are not metabolized by CYP3A4 and may be safer alternatives.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (all)	1	Azole antifungals (fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole, posaconazole, voriconazole)	Azole antifungal agents may decrease the elimination of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors by inhibiting their first-pass hepatic metabolism via CYP3A4/CYP2C9 isoenzymes resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, rhabdomyolysis) effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Itraconazole is contraindicated with HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors metabolized by CYP3A4. If other azole antifungals are to be used, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor dose should be decreased accordingly. Patients should be monitored for toxicity. Pravastatin may be a safer alternative since its levels are affected least by azole coadministration.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (atorvastatin, lovastatin, pravastatin, rosuvastatin, simvastatin)	1	Cyclosporine	Cyclosporine may decrease the elimination of certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors by inhibiting their metabolism and resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, rhabdomyolysis) effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Decrease HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor dose accordingly; monitor for toxicity.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (all)	1	Fibric acid derivatives (fenofibrate, gemfibrozil)	Coadministration of fibric acid derivatives with HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors may result in myopathy or rhabdomyolysis via an unknown mechanism. Decrease HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor dose accordingly; obtain creatine kinase levels and monitor for toxicity.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (atorvastatin, lovastatin, simvastatin)	1	Macrolides and ketolides (clarithromycin, erythromycin and telithromycin)	Macrolides may decrease the elimination of certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors by inhibiting their metabolism via CYP3A4 resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, myopathy or rhabdomyolysis) effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Decrease HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor dose accordingly; monitor for toxicity. Fluvastatin and pravastatin may be safer alternatives.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (atorvastatin, lovastatin,	1	Nefazodone	Nefazodone may decrease the elimination of certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors by inhibiting their metabolism via CYP3A4 resulting in increased concentrations and increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, rhabdomyolysis or myositis) effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Decrease HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor dose





Drug(s)	Significance Level	Interaction	Mechanism
simvastatin)			accordingly; monitor for toxicity. Fluvastatin and pravastatin may be safer alternatives.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (atorvastatin, lovastatin, pravastatin, simvastatin)	1	Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) (delavirdine, efavirenz, nevirapine)	Delavirdine and nevirapine may inhibit the metabolism of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, via CYP3A4, resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, rhabdomyolysis or myopathy) effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. In contrast, efavirenz may induce CYP3A4 metabolism, resulting in decreased concentration and consequently decreased pharmacologic effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. With concurrent administration, adjust HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor dose accordingly; monitor plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) level, and adverse effects.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (atorvastatin, lovastatin, simvastatin)	1	Grapefruit	Grapefruit may decrease the elimination of certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors by inhibiting their first-pass metabolism via CYP3A4, resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, rhabdomyolysis) effects of these HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Avoid concomitant administration of atorvastatin, lovastatin, and simvastatin with grapefruit products.
Lovastatin	1	Protease inhibitors (amprenavir, atazanavir, darunavir, indinavir, lopinavir/ritonavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir)	Protease inhibitors may decrease the elimination of lovastatin by inhibiting its metabolism via CYP3A4 resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, rhabdomyolysis) effects of lovastatin. Decrease lovastatin dose accordingly; monitor for toxicity. Lovastatin is contraindicated in patients receiving concomitant nelfinavir. In addition, lovastatin should not be coadministered with ritonavir, atazanavir, or darunavir.
Simvastatin	1	Protease inhibitors (amprenavir, atazanavir, darunavir, indinavir, lopinavir/ritonavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir)	Protease inhibitors may decrease the elimination of simvastatin by inhibiting its metabolism via CYP3A4 resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, rhabdomyolysis) effects of simvastatin. Simvastatin is contraindicated in patients receiving nelfinavir. In addition, coadministration of simvastatin with ritonavir or darunavir should be avoided.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (atorvastatin, lovastatin, simvastatin)	2	Carbamazepine	Carbamazepine may increase the clearance of certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors by inducing their metabolism via CYP3A4 resulting in decreased concentration and consequently decreased pharmacologic effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Monitor patients for a decrease in clinical effect. Pravastatin and rosuvastatin may be safer alternatives.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (atorvastatin, lovastatin, simvastatin)	2	Diltiazem	Diltiazem may decrease the elimination of certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors by inhibiting their first-pass metabolism via CYP3A4 resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, rhabdomyolysis, myositis) effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Pravastatin may be a safer alternative.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (atorvastatin, fluvastatin,	2	Rifamycins (rifabutin, rifampin, rifapentine)	Rifamycins may increase the clearance of certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors by inducing their first-pass metabolism via CYP3A4 resulting in decreased concentration and consequently decreased pharmacologic effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. The dose of the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor may need to be





Drug(s)	Significance Level	Interaction	Mechanism
lovastatin, pravastatin, simvastatin)			increased. Pravastatin levels may be increased in some patients.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (atorvastatin, lovastatin, simvastatin)	2	Verapamil	Verapamil may decrease the elimination of certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors by inhibiting their first-pass metabolism via CYP3A4 resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, rhabdomyolysis, myositis) effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Decrease HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor dose accordingly; monitor for toxicity. Fluvastatin and pravastatin may be safer alternatives.
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (fluvastatin, lovastatin, rosuvastatin, simvastatin)	2	Warfarin	HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors may decrease the elimination of warfarin by inhibiting its hepatic metabolism resulting in increased anticoagulant effect of warfarin. Monitor patients' anticoagulant parameters when starting or discontinuing concurrent therapy with warfarin and HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Atorvastatin and pravastatin may be safer alternatives.
Atorvastatin	2	Protease inhibitors (amprenavir, indinavir, lopinavir/ritonavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir)	Protease inhibitors may decrease the elimination of atorvastatin by inhibiting its first-pass metabolism via CYP3A4 resulting in increased concentration and consequently increased pharmacologic and toxic (ie, rhabdomyolysis) effects of atorvastatin. Monitor patients receiving atorvastatin for toxicity, especially with ritonavir/saquinavir combination. Decrease atorvastatin dose accordingly; monitor for toxicity.
Ezetimibe	2	Cyclosporine	Coadministration of cyclosporine with ezetimibe may result in increased plasma concentration of both drugs via an unknown mechanism. Decrease ezetimibe dose accordingly; monitor for toxicity.

Significance Level 1=major severity Significance Level 2=moderate severity

VI. Adverse Drug Events

All HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) may cause an elevation in liver enzymes and creatinine kinase, sometimes accompanied by myopathy and rarely rhabdomyolysis and renal failure. ^{1,6} Niacin therapy is also associated with serum transaminase elevations, as well as hyperglycemia and elevation in uric acid levels. ² As with the single entity statins, liver function tests should be performed routinely with combination statin therapy.

The most common adverse reactions reported with the combination statins are noted in Table 9. The adverse drug event profile of the combination products is not significantly different from the adverse reaction profile of the individual components.

Table 9. Adverse Drug Events (%) Reported with the Combination HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors 5-11,19-25

Adverse Event	Atorvastatin	Amlodipine	Lovastatin	Niacin ER	Simvastatin	Ezetimibe
Cardiovascular						
Angina pectoris	<2	=	-	-	=	-
Arrhythmia	<2	<1	-	>	=	-
Bradycardia	-	<1	-	-	=	-
Cardiac failure	-	≤0.1	-	-	=	=
Chest pain	≥2	<1	0.5-1	-	=	=
Hypertension	<2	=	-	-	=	=





Adverse Event	Atorvastatin	Amlodipine	Lovastatin	Niacin ER	Simvastatin	Ezetimibe
Hypotension	-	<1	-	✓ Triacin Ex	Simvastatin -	-
Migraine	<2	≤0.1	-	→	_	_
Phlebitis	<2		-	-	-	-
Palpitation	<2	<u>≤</u> 4.5	-	-	_	-
Peripheral ischemia		<u></u>				-
Postural hypotension	<2	<1	-	- •	-	
Vasodilatation			-		-	-
	<2	1	-	-	-	=
Syncope	<2	<1	-	~	-	-
Tachycardia	-	<1	-	~	-	-
Central Nervous System/Neur			T	T	T	
Abnormal dreams	<2	<1	-	-	-	-
Agitation	-	≤0.1	-	-	-	-
Amnesia	<2	≤0.1	-	-	-	-
Anxiety	-	<1	~	-	~	-
Apathy	-	≤0.1	-	-	-	-
Chills	-	-	~	-	-	-
Cranial nerve dysfunction	-	-	~	-	~	-
Depression	<2	<1	~	-	~	-
Dizziness	≥2	≤3.4	0.5-2.0	-	~	>
Emotional lability	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Facial paralysis	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Fever	<2	-	~	-	~	-
Flushing	-	≤4.5	~	≥5	~	-
Headache	2.5-16.7	7.8	2.1-8.0	≥5	3.5	6-7
Hyperkinesia	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Hypertonia	<2	-	-	=	-	-
Hypesthesia	<2	<1	-	=	-	-
Incoordination	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Insomnia	≥2	<1	0.5-1	-	✓	-
Libido decreased	<2	-	~	-	✓	-
Memory loss	-	-	~	_	~	-
Neck rigidity	<2	-	-	_	-	-
Paresthesia	<2	<1	0.5-1	_	~	_
Peripheral nerve palsy	-	-	√	_	~	_
Peripheral neuropathy	<2	<1	~	-	~	-
Psychiatric disturbances	-	<1	~	_	~	_
Somnolence	<2	≤1.6	-	_	_	_
Torticollitis	<2	-	_	_	-	_
Tremor	-	<1	~	_	~	_
Vertigo	-	<1	~	_	-	-
Dermatological		**	l	l		
Acanthosis nigricans	-	-	-	~	_	_
Acne	<2	-	-	-	_	_
Alopecia	<2	<u>≤</u> 0.1	0.5-1	-	~	-
Contact dermatitis	<2	<u>≤</u> 0.1				
Dermatitis		- ≤0.1	-	-	-	-
Dry skin	<2	≤0.1 ≤0.1	- •	-	-	-
Eczema Eczema				-	- 0.8	-
	<2	-	-	- •	0.8	-
Hyperpigmentation	-	- -1	0.5-1		- 0.5	-
Pruritus	<2	<1		≥5 > 5	0.5	-
Rash	1.1-3.9	<1	0.8-1.3	≥5	0.6	✓





Adverse Event	Atorvastatin	Amlodipine	Lovastatin	Niacin ER	Simvastatin	Ezetimibe
Seborrhea	<2	-	-	-	- Sillivastatili	-
Skin ulcer	<2	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	_	
Sweating	<2	1-2	-	~	_	
Urticaria	<2	1-2 ≤0.1	-	-		
Endocrine and Metabolic	<2	≥0.1		-	-	<u> </u>
Gout	<2		I			
		1.2	-	- > <i>E</i>	-	-
Hyperglycemia	<2	1-2	-	≥5	-	-
Hypoglycemia	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Peripheral edema	≥2	≤14.6	-	~	-	-
Thirst	-	1-2	-	-	-	-
Weight gain	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Gastrointestinal	0.2.0	1.6	2025	> 7	0022	
Abdominal pain	0-3.8	1.6	2.0-2.5	≥5	0.9-3.2	~
Acid regurgitation	-	-	0.5-1	-	-	-
Anorexia	<2	<1	~	-	~	-
Biliary pain	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Cheilitis	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Cholestatic jaundice	<2	>	~	-	~	~
Cirrhosis	-	-	~	-	~	=
Colitis	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Constipation	0-2.5	<1	2.0-3.5	-	2.3	-
Diarrhea	0-3.8	<1	2.2-2.6	≥5	0.5-1.9	>
Dry mouth	<2	1-2	0.5-1	-	-	
Duodenal ulcer	<2	=	-	-	-	
Dyspepsia/heartburn	1.3-2.8	<1	1.0-1.6	≥5	0.6-1.1	-
Dysphagia	<2	<1	-	ı	-	-
Enteritis	<2	ı	-	ı	-	-
Eructation	<2	ı	-	ı	-	-
Esophagitis	<2	-	-	1	-	-
Flatulence	1.1-2.8	<1	3.7-4.5	1	0.9-1.9	-
Fulminant hepatic necrosis	-	ı	~	ı	>	-
Gastritis	<2	≤0.1	-	-	-	
Gastroenteritis	<2	ı	-	ı	-	-
Gingival hyperplasia	-	<1	-	ı	-	-
Glossitis	<2	ı	-	ı	-	-
Gum hemorrhage	<2	-	-	1	-	-
Hepatitis	<2	-	~	1	~	✓
Hepatoma	-	ı	~	ı	>	-
Increased appetite	<2	≤0.1	-	-	-	-
Melena	<2	-	-	-	-	=
Mouth ulceration	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Nausea	≥2	2.9	1.9-2.5	≥5	0.4-1.3	~
Pancreatitis	<2	<1	~	-	~	>
Rectal hemorrhage	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Stomach ulcer	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Stomatitis	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Ulcerative stomatitis	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Vomiting	<2	<1	0.5-1	≥5	~	-
Genitourinary						
Abnormal ejaculation	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Albuminuria	≥2	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event	Atorvastatin	Amlodipine	Lovastatin	Niacin ER	Simvastatin	Ezetimibe
Breast enlargement	<2	-	-	-	Simvastatin -	- Ezetimbe
Cystitis	<2	_	-	-	_	_
Dysuria	<2	<u>≤</u> 0.1	-	-	_	
Epididymitis	<2		-	_	_	
Erectile dysfunction	-	-	~		~	-
Fibrocystic breast	<2	-		-	-	-
Gynecomastia		- -	- •	-	-	
Hematuria	- >2			-		-
	≥2	-	-	-	-	-
Impotence	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Kidney calculus	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Metrorrhagia	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Nephritis	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Nocturia	<2	1-2	-	-	-	-
Sexual dysfunction	-	<1	-	-	-	-
Urinary abnormality	-	1-2	-	-	-	-
Urinary frequency	<2	1-2	-	-	-	-
Urinary incontinence	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Urinary retention	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Urinary tract infection	≥2	-	3.0	-	-	-
Urinary urgency	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Uterine hemorrhage	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Vaginal hemorrhage	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Hematologic						
Anemia	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Ecchymosis	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Eosinophilia	-	-	~	-	~	-
Hemolytic anemia	-	-	~	-	~	-
Leukopenia	-	1-2	~	-	~	-
Lymphadenopathy	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Petechia	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Purpura	-	1-2	~	-	~	-
Thrombocytopenia	<2	1-2	~	-	~	-
Vasculitis	-	<1	~	-	~	-
Laboratory Test Abnormalitie	es		•	•	•	
Creatine phosphokinase	<2	-	-	-	~	~
increased						
Bilirubin elevation	-	-	~	-	~	-
Hematuria	-	-	-	-	-	-
Liver enzyme abnormalities	-	~	~	-	~	~
Proteinuria	-	=	-	-	-	=
Thyroid level abnormality	-	=	~	-	~	=
Musculoskeletal	1	L			l .	L
Arthritis	≥2	<1	0.5-6	-	✓	-
Arthralgia	-	<1	-	~	~	~
Back pain	0-3.8	<1	5.0	≥5	-	✓
Bursitis	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Hypertonia	-	≤0.1	-	_	-	-
Leg cramps	<2		-	-	-	-
Muscle cramps	-	<1	0.6-1.1	-	~	_
Myalgia	-	<1	1.8-3.0	≥5	1.2	2.3-4
Myopathy	-	-	-	<u></u>	1.2 ✓	∠.5 +
111, 5puiii	1	l	l	l	1	<u> </u>





Adverse Event	Atorvastatin	Amlodipine	Lovastatin	Niacin ER	Simvastatin	Ezetimibe
Myositis	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Myasthenia	<2	≤0.1	_	-	_	_
Polymyalgia rheumatica	-	-	~	_	~	-
Rhabdomyolysis	~	-	~	~	~	~
Tendinous contracture	<2	-	_	_	-	-
Tendon rupture	~	_	_	_	-	-
Tenesynovitis	<2	_	_	_	-	-
Respiratory	\					
Asthma	<2	_	_	_	_	_
Bronchitis	≥2	_	_	_	_	_
Cough	-	≤0.1	_	_	_	→
Dyspnea	<2	<1	~	_	~	<u> </u>
Epistaxis	<2	<1	-	_	-	<u> </u>
Pharyngitis	0-2.5	-	-	-	_	~
Pneumonia	<2	-	-	_	_	<u> </u>
Rhinitis	≥2	<u>≤</u> 0.1	-	-	_	<u>-</u>
Sinusitis	0-6.4		6.0		-	~
		-		-	2.1	5
Upper respiratory infection Other	-	-	-	-	∠.1	J
Abnormal vision		1.2	0.9-1.2	I		
	- 0.4.2	1-2		-	-	-
Accidental injury	0-4.2	- -1	4	-	-	-
Allergic reaction	0-2.8	<1	-	-	-	-
Amblyopia	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Anaphylaxis	~	1	•	-	•	V
Angioedema	-	<1	~	-	~	~
Angioneurotic edema	•	-	-	-	-	-
Asthenia	0-3.8	<1	1.2-2.0	≥5	1.6	-
Cataracts	-	-	~	-	0.5	-
Conjunctivitis	-	1-2	-	-	-	-
Dry eyes	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Erythema multiforme	<2	<1	~	-	~	-
Eye hemorrhage	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Eye irritation	-	-	0.5-1	~	-	-
Facial/general edema	<2	-	-	~	-	-
Fatigue	~	4.5	-	-	-	~
Flu syndrome	0-3.2	-	5.0	≥5	-	1-3
Glaucoma	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Hot flashes	-	<1	-	-	-	-
Hypersensitivity reaction	-	-	-	~	-	~
Infection	2.8-10.3	-	16	≥5	-	-
Lupus erythematosus-like	-	-	~	-	~	-
syndrome						
Malaise	<2	<1	~	-	~	-
Ophthalmoplegia	-	-	~	-	~	-
Parosmia	<2	≤0.1	-	-	-	-
Photosensitivity reaction	<2	-	~	-	-	-
Refraction disorder	<2	-	-	-	-	-
Stevens-Johnson syndrome	~	-	~	-	~	-
Taste disturbance	<2	≤0.1	-	-	-	-
Tinnitus	<2	1-2	-	-	-	ī
Toxic epidermal necrolysis	✓	-	~	-	~	-





Adverse Event	Atorvastatin	Amlodipine	Lovastatin	Niacin ER	Simvastatin	Ezetimibe
Visual disturbance	-	≤0.1	~	-	-	=
Weight gain	-	<1	-	-	-	-

ER=extended-release

VII. Dosing and Administration

The usual dosing regimens for the combination HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) are summarized in Table 10. The combination statins are dosed once daily in the evening. Atorvastatin is the exception; it can be administered at any time of the day. The dosing and administration schedule of the combination products is not significantly different from that of the individual components.

Table 10. Usual Dosing for the Combination HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors 5-11,19-25

Table 10. Usual Dosing for the Combination HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors 5-11,19-25									
Drug	Usual Adult Dose	Usual Pediatric Dose	Availability						
Amlodipine/ atorvastatin*	Hypercholesterolemia; heterozygous familial/nonfamilial hypercholesterolemia; secondary prevention of cardiovascular events: Tablet: atorvastatin: initial, 10-20 mg once daily; maximum, 80 mg daily. For low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) reduction >45%, initiate at 40 mg once daily. Primary prevention of cardiovascular events: Tablet: atorvastatin: initial, 10 mg once daily Homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: Tablet: atorvastatin: initial, 10 mg once daily; maximum, 80 mg daily Hypertriglyceridemia: Tablet: atorvastatin: initial, 10 mg once daily; maximum, 80 mg daily Hypertension/angina Tablet: amlodipine: initial, 2.5-5 mg once daily; maximum, 10 mg daily	Heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (Adolescents 10-17 years old): Tablet: atorvastatin: initial, 10 mg once daily; maximum, 20 mg daily (doses greater than 20 mg have not been studied in this patient population) Safety and efficacy of atorvastatin in children younger than 10 years of age have not been established. Hypertension (Adolescents 6-17 years): Tablet: amlodipine, 2.5-5 mg once daily Safety and efficacy of doses above 5 mg daily of amlodipine have not been established in children. Note: there have been no studies conducted to determine the safety or effectiveness of Caduet® in pediatric populations.	Tablets: 2.5/10 mg 2.5/20 mg 2.5/40 mg 5/10 mg 5/20 mg 5/40 mg 5/80 mg 10/10 mg 10/20 mg 10/40 mg 10/80 mg						
Niacin ER/ lovastatin†	Tablet: initial, 500/20 mg once daily at bedtime; may titrate up by up to 500 mg daily every 4 weeks; maximum, 2,000/40 mg daily	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Tablets: 500/20 mg 750/20 mg 1,000/20 mg 1,000/40 mg						
Ezetimibe/ simvastatin‡	Primary hypercholesterolemia: Tablet: initial, 10/20 mg once daily at bedtime, or 10/10 mg in patients requiring less aggressive LDL-C lowering, or 10/40 mg in patients requiring LDL-C lowering >55% Homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia:	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Tablets: 10/10 mg 10/20 mg 10/40 mg 10/80 mg						





[✓] Percent not specified

⁻Event not reported or incedance <1%

Drug	Usual Adult Dose	Usual Pediatric Dose	Availability
	Tablet: 10/40-10/80 mg once daily at bedtime		

^{*} Small, fragile or elderly individuals or patients with hepatic insufficiency may be started on 2.5 mg amlodipine daily.





[†] Equivalent doses of lovastatin-niacin can be substituted for Niaspan[®] but should not be substituted for other modified-release niacin products. ‡Product should not be started in those with severe renal insufficiency unless the patient has already tolerated treatment with simvastatin ≥5 mg.

VIII. Effectiveness

Clinical studies evaluating the safety and efficacy of the combination HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) are summarized in Table 11.

Table 11. Comparative Clinical Trials Using the Combination HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors

Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and	and	and Study		
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
Hypercholesterolemia, Pri	mary			
Preston et al ²⁶	DB, RCT	N=1,660	Primary:	Primary:
			Mean change from	Regardless of dose, combination therapy with atorvastatin and
RESPOND	Patients 18-75 years of	8 weeks	baseline in systolic	amlodipine was associated with greater reduction in SBP from
	age with hypertension		blood pressure (SBP),	baseline compared to atorvastatin alone (P <0.001).
Atorvastatin-amlodipine	(HTN) and		diastolic blood pressure	
5 mg/10 mg once daily,	dyslipidemia		(DBP), and reduction	Regardless of dose, combination therapy with atorvastatin and
separate entities			of LDL-C	amlodipine was associated with greater reduction in LDL-C from
				baseline compared to amlodipine alone (<i>P</i> <0.001).
VS			Secondary:	
			Augmentation of blood	Secondary:
atorvastatin-amlodipine			pressure-lowering with	Regardless of dose, there was no significant difference in terms of
10 mg/10 mg once daily,			the addition of	SBP-lowering from baseline between patients taking atorvastatin
separate entities			atorvastatin and	and amlodipine and those on amlodipine monotherapy ($P>0.05$).
			augmentation of LDL-	
VS			C-lowering with the	Regardless of dose, there was no significant difference in terms of
			addition of amlodipine,	LDL-C-lowering from baseline between patients taking atorvastatin
atorvastatin-amlodipine			reduction in the	and amlodipine and those on atorvastatin monotherapy ($P>0.05$).
5 mg/20 mg once daily,			Framingham risk	Atorvastatin-amlodipine 5/10 mg once daily was more effective in
separate entities			scores, adverse effects	reducing baseline LDL-C level compared to atorvastatin
				monotherapy (P =0.007).
VS				
				A maximal reduction in the Framingham risk scores was observed
atorvastatin-amlodipine				in the atorvastatin-amlodipine 5/80 mg and atorvastatin-amlodipine
10 mg/20 mg once daily,				10/80 mg treatment groups (<i>P</i> value not reported).
separate entities				
				The proportion of patients who discontinued therapy due to adverse
VS				effects was similar in the combination, amlodipine, and atorvastatin
				groups (5.6% vs 5.4% vs 4.1, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
atorvastatin-amlodipine				





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
5 mg/40 mg once daily, separate entities				
vs				
atorvastatin-amlodipine 10 mg/40 mg once daily, separate entities				
vs				
atorvastatin-amlodipine 5 mg/80 mg once daily, separate entities				
vs				
atorvastatin-amlodipine 10 mg/80 mg once daily, separate entities				
vs				
amlodipine 5 mg or 10 mg once daily				
vs				
atorvastatin 10 mg, 20 mg,				
40 mg, or 80 mg once daily				
vs				
placebo				
Flack et al ²⁷	MC, OL	N=489	Primary:	Primary:





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and	and	and Study		
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
			Proportion of patients	More patients in groups 1 and 2 had reached both their JNC 7 and
CAPABLE	African-Americans 18-	20 weeks	in 3 cardiovascular risk	NCEP ATP III goals at end point compared to the group-3 patients
	80 years of age with		groups (group 1:	(69.7%, 66.7%, and 28.2%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
Atorvastatin-amlodipine	uncontrolled HTN and		patients without	
5 mg/10 mg daily,	dyslipidemia; patients		additional risk factors;	Secondary:
combination entity	were excluded if their		group 2: patients with	Atorvastatin-amlodipine therapy was associated with a 17.5 mm Hg
	blood pressure was at		>1 additional risk	and 10.1 mm Hg decrease in the SBP and DBP from baseline,
vs	goal or if they were		factors, excluding CHD	respectively (<i>P</i> value not reported).
	receiving both		and diabetes; group 3:	
atorvastatin-amlodipine	amlodipine and		patients with CHD or	Atorvastatin-amlodipine therapy was associated with a 23.6%
5 mg/20 mg daily,	atorvastatin,		CHD risk equivalent)	reduction in LDL-C from baseline (<i>P</i> value not reported).
combination entity	maximum-dose		who reached both their	
	calcium channel		JNC 7 and NCEP ATP	Atorvastatin-amlodipine therapy was associated with a 17%
vs	blocker, or 80 mg of		III goals at end point	reduction in total cholesterol from baseline (<i>P</i> value not reported).
	atorvastatin (with			
atorvastatin-amlodipine	LDL-C \geq 100 mg/dL),		Secondary:	Atorvastatin-amlodipine therapy was associated with a 2.2%
5 mg/40 mg daily,	were		Change from baseline	increase in HDL-C from baseline (<i>P</i> value not reported).
combination entity	pregnant/lactating, had		in SBP and DBP, LDL-	
	impaired renal or		C, total cholesterol,	Atorvastatin-amlodipine therapy was associated with a 6.9%
VS	hepatic function, MI		triglycerides, HDL-C,	reduction in TG from baseline (<i>P</i> value not reported).
	within 6 months,		apolipoprotein B	
atorvastatin-amlodipine	coronary			Atorvastatin-amlodipine therapy was associated with a 19.3%
5 mg/80 mg daily,	revascularization,			reduction in apo B from baseline (<i>P</i> value not reported).
combination entity	atherosclerotic stroke,			
	or transient			
VS	ischemic attack within			
	3 months, a history			
atorvastatin-amlodipine	of cardiomyopathy or			
10 mg/10 mg daily,	chronic heart failure,			
combination entity	secondary HTN or			
	secondary dyslipidemia			
vs				
atorvastatin-amlodipine				
10 mg/20 mg daily, separate				
10 mg/20 mg dany, separate				





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and Drug Regimen	and Demographics	and Study Duration		
entities	3 1			
VS				
atorvastatin-amlodipine 10 mg/40 mg daily, combination entity				
vs				
atorvastatin-amlodipine 10 mg/80 mg daily, combination entity				
Messerli et al ²⁸	DD, MC, OL, RCT	N=847	Primary:	Primary:
AVALON Amlodipine 5 mg daily for 8 weeks, followed by the addition of atorvastatin 10 mg for another 8 weeks, followed by a 12-week open-label treatment vs atorvastatin 10 mg daily for 8 weeks, followed by the addition of amlodipine 5 mg for another 8 weeks, followed by a 12-week open-label treatment	Patients with HTN and dyslipidemia	28 weeks	Proportion of patients who reached both their JNC 7 and NCEP ATP III goals, side effects Secondary: Not reported	More patients in the combination group reached both their JNC 7 and NCEP ATP III LDL-C goals at 8 weeks compared to patients receiving amlodipine or atorvastatin as monotherapy (45%, 8.3%, and 28.6%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.001). The incidence of side effects was similar across all treatment groups (<i>P</i> value not reported). Secondary: Not reported
vs				





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration Duration		
atorvastatin-amlodipine				
5 mg/10 mg daily for 16				
weeks, followed by a 12- week open-label treatment				
week open-label treatment				
vs				
placebo daily for 16 weeks,				
followed by a 12-week				
open-label treatment	NG L OL	NY 101	n ·	
Sharma et al ²⁹	MC, I, OL	N=131	Primary: Percent change from	Primary: Niacin ER/lovastatin therapy was associated with a statistically
Niacin ER/lovastatin 1,500	Patients living in India	24 weeks	baseline in LDL-C,	significant reduction from baseline in LDL-C (38%), TG (21%), and
mg/20 mg daily,	with HTN and		HDL-C, TG, total	total cholesterol (25.2%) at week 24 of therapy (P <0.01).
combination entity, titrated	dyslipidemia		cholesterol	
up to LDL-C goal			C 1	Niacin ER/lovastatin therapy was associated with a statistically
			Secondary: Not reported	significant increase from baseline in HDL-C at week 24 of therapy (18.2%; <i>P</i> <0.01).
			1 vot reported	(10.270, 1 < 0.01).
				Secondary:
	77.16			Not reported
Advicor Package Insert Study ⁸	DB, MC, RCT	N=179	Primary: Mean percent change	Primary: At 28 weeks, niacin ER/lovastatin combination therapy arm was
Study	Patients with type IIa	28 weeks	from baseline in LDL-	associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline
Niacin ER/lovastatin	and IIb hyperlipidemia	20 Weeks	C C	compared with niacin ER and lovastatin 40 mg monotherapy groups
(Advicor®) 2,000 mg/40 mg				(42%, 14%, and 32%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
daily, combination entity			Secondary:	
VC			Mean percent change from baseline in HDL-	Secondary: At 28 weeks, niacin ER/lovastatin combination therapy arm was
VS			C, TG	associated with a significant increase in HDL-C from baseline
niacin ER (Niaspan®) daily			, -	compared with niacin ER and lovastatin 40 mg monotherapy groups
				(30%, 24%, and 6%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
vs				At 29 weeks missin ED/levestatin combination there
lovastatin 40 mg daily				At 28 weeks, niacin ER/lovastatin combination therapy arm was associated with a significant reduction in TG from baseline





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
				compared with niacin ER and lovastatin 40 mg monotherapy groups (44%, 31%, and 20%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
Bays, Du jovne et al ³⁰	MC, OL, R	N=315	Primary:	Primary:
			Percent change from	Atorvastatin was associated with a statistically significant 49%
ADVOCATE	Patients 18-70 years	16 weeks	baseline in LDL-C and	reduction in LDL-C from baseline at week-16 of therapy, compared
	old, with 2 consecutive		HDL-C	with a 39%, 42%, and 39% reduction observed with niacin
Niacin ER/lovastatin	LDL-C≥160 mg/dL (if			ER/lovastatin 1,000/40 mg, niacin ER/lovastatin 2,000/40 mg, and
1,000 mg/40 mg daily,	no CAD), or ≥130		Secondary:	simvastatin groups, respectively ($P \le 0.05$).
combination entity	mg/dL (with CAD),		Percent change from	
	TG <300 mg/dL, and		baseline in total	Niacin ER/lovastatin 1,000/40 mg and 2,000/40 mg therapies were
VS	HDL-C <45 mg/dL		cholesterol, apo B, apo	associated with a statistically significant increase in HDL-C from
	(men) or		AI, HDL subfractions,	baseline at week 16 of therapy, compared with atorvastatin and
niacin ER/lovastatin	<50 mg/dL (women);		HDL ₂ and HDL ₃ and	simvastatin groups (17%, 32%, 6%, and 7%, respectively; $P \le 0.05$).
2,000 mg/40 mg daily,	patients were excluded		median percent change	
combination entity	if they had an allergy to		in TG and	Secondary:
	any of the study drugs,		lipoprotein(a)	Niacin ER/lovastatin 1,000/40 mg, niacin ER/lovastatin 2,000/40
VS	a history of substance			mg, and atorvastatin groups experienced a statistically significant
	abuse or dependence			reduction in TG from baseline at week 16 of therapy, compared with
simvastatin 40 mg daily	within 12 months,			the simvastatin group (29%, 49%, 31%, and 19%, respectively;
	consumption of >14			<i>P</i> ≤0.05).
VS	alcoholic drinks/week,			
	uncontrolled			Niacin ER/lovastatin 1,000/40 mg and 2,000/40 mg therapies were
atorvastatin 40 mg daily	psychiatric disease,			associated with a statistically significant reduction in lipoprotein(a)
	active gallbladder			from baseline at week 16 of therapy, compared with atorvastatin and
	disease, uncontrolled			simvastatin groups (19%, 21%, 0%, and 2%, respectively; $P \le 0.05$).
	HTN, renal			
	insufficiency, hepatic			Niacin ER/lovastatin 1,000/40 mg, niacin ER/lovastatin 2,000/40
	dysfunction, heart			mg, and simvastatin groups were associated with a statistically
	failure NYHA class			significant increase in apo AI from baseline at week-16 of therapy,
	III/IV, active gout			compared with the atorvastatin group (7%, 14%, 6%, and 2%,
	symptoms or uric acid			respectively; <i>P</i> <0.05).
	>1.3 times the ULN,			N ED
	active peptic ulcer			Niacin ER/lovastatin 2,000/40 mg and atorvastatin were associated
	disease, type 1 or 2			with a statistically significant reduction in lipoprotein B from
	diabetes, fibromyalgia,			baseline at week 16 of therapy, compared with the niacin





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and Drug Regimen	and Demographics	and Study Duration		
Drug Regimen	cancer within the	Duration		ER/lovastatin 2,000/40 and simvastatin groups (38%, 40%, 33%,
	previous 5 years,			and 31%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.05).
	unstable angina, MI,			
	CABG, stroke, or			Niacin ER/lovastatin 1,000/40 mg, and niacin ER/lovastatin
	percutaneous coronary			2,000/40 mg were associated with a statistically significant increase
	angioplasty within 6			in HDL ₂ and HDL ₃ from baseline at week 16 of therapy, compared
	months			with the atorvastatin and simvastatin groups (P <0.05).
McKenney et al ³¹	MC, OL, PG, RCT	N=292	Primary:	Primary:
			LDL-C level at week	Patients randomized to atorvastatin/niacin ER, rosuvastatin/niacin
COMPELL	Adult patients ≥21	12 weeks	12	ER, simvastatin/ezetimibe, and rosuvastatin therapies experienced
	years of age with			similar reductions in LDL-C from baseline at week 12 of the study
Atorvastatin 20 mg for the	hypercholesterolemia		Secondary:	(56%, 51%, 57%, and 53%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.093).
first 8 weeks, titrated up to	eligible for treatment		HDL-C level at week	
40 mg on weeks 9-12 in	based on the NCEP		12, non–HDL-C, total	Secondary:
addition to niacin ER 500	ATP III guidelines,		cholesterol, TG,	Patients randomized to atorvastatin/niacin ER experienced a
mg for the first 4 weeks,	with two consecutive		lipoprotein(a), apo B	statistically significant reduction in apo B from baseline at week 12
titrated up to 1,000 mg on	LDL-C levels within			of the study compared to the rosuvastatin group (43% vs 39%,
weeks 5-8, and 2,000 mg on weeks 9-12	15% of each other and			respectively; $P \le 0.05$).
weeks 9-12	mean TG ≤300 mg/dL; patients were excluded			Patients randomized to atorvastatin/niacin ER experienced a
VS	if they had secondary			statistically significant increase in HDL-C from baseline at week 12
VS	dyslipidemia, known			of the study compared to the simvastatin/ezetimibe and rosuvastatin
simvastatin 20 mg for the	hypersensitivity to the			groups (22%, 10%, and 7%, respectively; $P \le 0.05$).
first 8 weeks, titrated up to	study drugs, major			groups (22 %, 10 %, and 7 %, respectively, 1 <u>5</u> 0.05).
40 mg on weeks 9-12 in	organ system disease,			Patients randomized to atorvastatin/niacin ER experienced a
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg	severe HTN, diabetes,			statistically significant reduction in TG from baseline at week 12 of
for 12 weeks	major cardiovascular			the study compared to the simvastatin/ezetimibe and rosuvastatin
	event within 12			groups (47%, 33%, and 25%, respectively; $P \le 0.05$).
vs	months, severe heart			J 1 () / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
	failure, history of			Patients randomized to atorvastatin/niacin ER experienced a
rosuvastatin 10 mg for the	myopathy, active gout,			statistically significant reduction in lipoprotein(a) from baseline at
first 8 weeks, titrated up to	life expectancy <2			week 12 of the study compared to the simvastatin/ezetimibe and
20 mg on weeks 9-12, in	years, had active liver			rosuvastatin groups (14%, -7% , and -18% , respectively; $P \le 0.05$).
addition to niacin ER 500	disease, creatinine			
mg for the first 4 weeks,	clearance <30 ml/min,			Side effects were similar across treatment groups (P value not





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			Primary: Mean change from baseline in LDL-C level, total cholesterol,	reported). There were no cases of myopathy or hepatotoxicity reported during the study period. Primary: Patients on the combination therapy experienced a statistically significant LDL-C reduction from baseline compared to the simvastatin monotherapy group (45.6% vs 28.3%; P≤0.01).
mg, separate entities, for 12 weeks vs simvastatin 20 mg, in addition to placebo, separate entities, for 12 weeks	≥145 mg/dL but ≤250 mg/dL, TG ≤350 mg/dL		TG, HDL-C, non–HDL-C, apo B Secondary: Not reported	Patients on the combination therapy experienced a statistically significant reduction in total cholesterol from baseline compared to the simvastatin monotherapy group (33% vs 21%; $P \le 0.01$). Patients on the combination therapy experienced a statistically significant triglyceride reduction from baseline compared to the simvastatin monotherapy group (22% vs 15%; $P \le 0.01$). Patients on the combination therapy experienced a statistically significant non–HDL-C reduction from baseline compared to the simvastatin monotherapy group (42% vs 26%; $P \le 0.01$). Patients on the combination therapy experienced a statistically significant apo B reduction from baseline compared to the simvastatin monotherapy group (38% vs 25%; $P \le 0.01$). There was no difference in the change of HDL level from baseline between the two groups (~1-2% increase in each group; P value not reported). There was no statistically significant difference in side effects





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
				between the combination therapy and simvastatin groups (P value
				not reported).
				Constant
				Secondary: Not reported
Patel et al ³³	DB, MC, PG, RCT	N=153	Primary:	Primary:
1 4001 01 41	22, 110, 10, 1101	11 100	Mean change from	Patients on the combination therapy experienced an additional LDL-
Ezetimibe 10 mg, in	Patients 18-75 years of	6 weeks	baseline in LDL-C	C reduction of 14.6% compared to the simvastatin monotherapy
addition to simvastatin 20	age with primary		level, proportion of	group (95% CI, 10.1 to 19.1; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
mg, separate entities, for 6	hypercholesterolemia		patients who reached	
weeks	and CHD (at least 3		LDL-C target (<3	A significantly greater proportion of patients randomized to the
	month prior to		mmol/L) at 6 weeks	combination therapy achieved their LDL-C goal compared to the
VS	baseline), not on lipid			monotherapy group (93% vs 75%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	management therapy;		Secondary:	
simvastatin 20 mg, in	patients were excluded		Change in serum	Patients on combination therapy were 5.1 times more likely to reach
addition to placebo, separate	if they were women on		cholesterol, TG, HDL	target LDL-C levels compared to patients on simvastatin alone
entities, for 6 weeks	hormonal therapy,			(95% CI, 1.8 to 15.0; <i>P</i> =0.003).
	taking statins within 6			
	weeks, potent CYP3A4			Secondary:
	inhibitors within 5			Patients on the combination therapy experienced an additional total
	weeks, oral corticosteroids started			cholesterol reduction of 0.69 mmol/L compared to the simvastatin
	within 6 weeks or			group (95% CI, 0.48 to 0.90; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
	verapamil within 4			Significantly greater proportion of patients in the combination
	days of study onset,			therapy group reached total cholesterol target (<4 mmol/l) compared
	had ALT/AST or CK			to simvastatin group (P <0.001).
	>1.5 times the ULN,			to sim vasacin group (1 x0.001).
	poorly controlled,			Greater reduction in TG was observed in the combination therapy
	newly diagnosed			group compared to the simvastatin group (20.4% vs 12.4%;
	diabetes type 1 or 2, or			P=0.06).
	had changed their			
	antidiabetic therapy			There was no difference in the change of HDL level from baseline
	within 3 months of			between the two groups (~6% increase in each group; P value not
	baseline, had			reported).
	uncontrolled HTN, or			





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and	and	and Study		
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
	body mass index ≥30			There was no statistically significant difference in treatment
	kg/m ²			emergent adverse events between the combination therapy and
				simvastatin groups (40% vs 25%; P=0.07).
Masana et al ³⁴	DB, ES, MC, RCT	N=355	Primary:	Primary:
			Percent change from	At week 12, simvastatin-ezetimibe groups experienced a statistically
Ezetimibe 10 mg daily, in	Patients with primary	48 weeks	baseline in LDL-C	significant 27% reduction in LDL-C compared to patients on
addition to simvastatin 10	hypercholesterolemia		between the study	simvastatin monotherapy (P <0.001). The benefit was maintained up
mg titrated up to 80 mg	≥18 years of age,		groups at week 12	to week 48 of the study (<i>P</i> value not reported).
daily, separate entities	currently taking a			
	stable daily dose of a		Secondary:	Secondary:
VS	statin ≥6 weeks, with		Percent change from	At week 12, simvastatin-ezetimibe groups experienced a statistically
	LDL-C above the		baseline in total	significant reduction in total cholesterol, TG, non–HDL-C, ratios of
simvastatin 10 mg titrated	NCEP ATP II		cholesterol, TG, HDL-	LDL-C:HDL-C, and TC:HDL-C, compared to patients on
up to 80 mg daily, in	guideline target level,		C, non–HDL-C, the	simvastatin monotherapy (<i>P</i> <0.001).
addition to placebo, separate	TG <350 mg/dL;		ratios of LDL-C:HDL-	
entities	patients were excluded		C and TC:HDL-C at 12	At week 12, simvastatin-ezetimibe groups experienced a non-
	if they had heart		weeks	significant 2.6% increase in HDL-C compared to patients on
	failure, uncontrolled			simvastatin monotherapy (<i>P</i> =0.07).
	cardiac arrhythmias,			
	MI, CABG, coronary			Treatment-related adverse effects were similar in simvastatin and
	angioplasty, or severe			simvastatin-ezetimibe groups (17% and 19%, respectively; P value
	peripheral artery			not reported).
	disease within the past			
	3 months, unstable			There were no cases of rhabdomyolysis or myopathy during the
	angina pectoris, poorly			study.
	controlled or newly			
	diagnosed diabetes,			
	uncontrolled endocrine			
	or metabolic disease,			
	impaired renal			
	function, active or			
	chronic liver or			
	hepatobiliary disease,			
	ALT or AST >2 times			
	the ULN, creatine			





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
	phosphokinase >1.5 times the ULN, and cancer (other than basal cell carcinoma) within			
Denke et al ³⁵	the past 5 years DB, MC, PG, RCT	N=3,030	Primary:	Primary:
Delike et al	DB, MC, PG, RC1	N=3,030	Mean change from	The addition of ezetimibe to ongoing statin therapy, as compared to
EASE	Adult patients with hypercholesterolemia,	6 weeks	baseline in LDL-C level, proportion of	the addition of placebo to ongoing statin therapy, was associated with an additional LDL-C reduction of 24.8% (diabetic patients),
Ezetimibe 10 mg, in addition to ongoing statin therapy for 6 weeks,	with LDL-C levels exceeding the NCEP ATP goals, on an		patients who reached LDL-C target, change in serum cholesterol,	21.4% (metabolic syndrome patients), and 22.4% (neither) from baseline (<i>P</i> <0.001).
separate entities	approved dose of a statin for 6 weeks prior		TG, HDL in patients with diabetes,	The addition of ezetimibe to ongoing statin therapy, as compared to the addition of placebo to ongoing statin therapy, was associated
VS	to study entry, following a		metabolic syndrome or neither	with an additional triglyceride reduction of 12.3% (diabetic patients), 10.7% (metabolic syndrome patients), and 11% (neither)
placebo, in addition to	cholesterol-lowering diet; patients were		Cacandamy	from baseline (P <0.001).
ongoing statin therapy for 6 weeks, separate entities	excluded if within 3 months of study entry had an acute coronary insufficiency, MI, stroke, surgical coronary intervention,		Secondary: Not reported	The addition of ezetimibe to ongoing statin therapy, as compared to the addition of placebo to ongoing statin therapy, was associated with an additional increase in HDL cholesterol among diabetic patients (P <0.001) and patients with metabolic syndrome (P =0.002) from baseline.
	or other major vascular surgery procedures, untreated hypothyroidism or			The addition of ezetimibe to ongoing statin therapy, as compared to the addition of placebo to ongoing statin therapy, was associated with an additional non-HDL cholesterol reduction of 21.8% (diabetic patients), 19.5% (metabolic syndrome patients), and 20.3% (metabolic syndrome patients).
	hyperthyroidism, untreated uncontrolled HTN, impaired renal function, active liver disease, a history of			(neither) from baseline (<i>P</i> <0.001). The addition of ezetimibe to ongoing statin therapy, as compared to the addition of placebo to ongoing statin therapy, was associated with an additional reduction in total cholesterol of 16% (diabetic
	statin-induced myopathy,			patients), 14.8% (metabolic syndrome patients), and 15% (neither) from baseline (P <0.001).





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and	and	and Study		
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
	uncontrolled diabetes, or were taking lipid- altering medications, other than statins, or oral corticosteroids			The addition of ezetimibe to ongoing statin therapy, as compared to the addition of placebo to ongoing statin therapy, was associated with an additional reduction in apo B to apo AI ratio of 17.7% (diabetic patients), 16.6% (metabolic syndrome patients), and 15.1% (neither) from baseline (<i>P</i> <0.001).
				The addition of ezetimibe to ongoing statin therapy, as compared to the addition of placebo to ongoing statin therapy, was associated with an additional reduction from baseline in CRP of 14.8% and 9.7% among diabetic patients (P <0.001) and patients with metabolic syndrome (P =0.027), respectively.
				A significantly greater proportion of patients randomized to the ezetimibe combination therapy achieved their NCEP-ATP LDL-C goals compared to the control group (<i>P</i> <0.001).
				Side effects were similar across all treatment groups (<i>P</i> value not reported).
				Secondary: Not reported
Pearson et al ³⁶	DB, MC, PG, RCT	N=3,030	Primary:	Primary:
			Mean change from	Compared to placebo, patients on the ezetimibe combination
EASE	Subanalysis of the	6 weeks	baseline in LDL-C	therapy experienced an LDL-C reduction of 23% (white patients),
	EASE study; patients >		level, proportion of	23% (African American patients), and 21% (Hispanic patients) from
Ezetimibe 10 mg, in	65 years old with		patients who reached	baseline (P <0.001). The difference in LDL-C lowering among the
addition to ongoing statin	hypercholesterolemia,		LDL-C target across	three races studied was not statistically significant (P >0.5).
therapy for 6 weeks,	with LDL-C levels		different races and	
separate entities	exceeding the NCEP		ethnicities, change in	A significantly greater proportion of patients randomized to the
l vo	ATP goals, on an		serum cholesterol, TG, HDL at 6 weeks	ezetimibe combination therapy achieved their NCEP ATP LDL-C goal compared to the control group (<i>P</i> <0.001).
VS	approved dose of a statin for 6 weeks prior		ndl at 6 weeks	goal compared to the control group (P<0.001).
placebo, in addition to	to study entry,		Secondary:	Patients on the ezetimibe combination therapy experienced a total
ongoing statin therapy for 6	following a		Not reported	cholesterol reduction of 15.3 mg/dL from baseline compared to the
ongoing staun therapy for o	TOHOWING a		riot reported	cholesterol reduction of 15.5 mg/dL from baseline compared to the





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		(D.0.001)
weeks, separate entities	cholesterol-lowering			control group (P <0.001).
	diet (for above for exclusion criteria)			Designed on the continuity combination shows a superior of a
	exclusion criteria)			Patients on the ezetimibe combination therapy experienced a triglyceride reduction of 11.5 mg/dL from baseline compared to the
				control group (P <0.001).
				Patients on the ezetimibe combination therapy experienced an
				increase in HDL of 2.1 mg/dL from baseline when compared to the
				control group (P <0.001).
				Side effects were similar across treatment groups and races (<i>P</i> value
				not reported).
				Secondary:
				Not reported
Chenot et al ³⁷	RCT	N=60	Primary:	Primary:
Short of the	1.01	1, 00	Change from baseline	Patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy
Simvastatin 40 mg daily	Patients admitted for an	7 days	in LDL-C at days 2, 4	experienced a statistically significant LDL-C reduction from
	AMI (with or without	•	and 7, and the	baseline on days 2, 4, and 7 (27%, 41%, and 51%, respectively;
vs	ST-segment elevation)		achievement of LDL-C	<i>P</i> <0.001).
	to the coronary unit,		<70 mg/dL	
ezetimibe 10 mg daily added	with pain that started			Patients on the simvastatin monotherapy experienced a statistically
to simvastatin 40 mg daily,	within 24 hours of		Secondary:	significant LDL-C reduction from baseline on days 2, 4, and 7
separate entities	admission; patients		Not reported	(15%, 27%, and 25%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	were excluded if they			There was no statistically significant shapes from baseline in LDI
VS	had a thyroid disorder, inflammatory			There was no statistically significant change from baseline in LDL-C in the no lipid-lowering therapy group ($P \ge 0.09$).
no lipid-lowering therapy	disease, neoplasia,			C in the no hpid-lowering therapy group ($I \ge 0.09$).
no npid-lowering dictapy	serious hepatic disease,			Patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy achieved
	creatinine level >1.7			lower LDL-C levels compared to the simvastatin monotherapy
	mg/dL, creatinine			group at day 4 (P =0.03) and day 7 (P =0.002) of the study.
	clearance <30 mL/min,			
	CK >3 times the ULN,			A greater proportion of patients randomized to the simvastatin-
	LDL-C <90 mg/dL, or			ezetimibe combination therapy achieved LDL-C <70 mg/dL,
	were receiving potent			compared to the simvastatin monotherapy group at day 4 and day 7





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	3A4 inhibitors	Duration		(45% vs 5%, and 55% vs 10%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
				Secondary:
Sampalis et al ³⁸	SA	N=825	Primary:	Not reported Primary:
Sampans et al	SA	N=023	Reduction in the 10-	The addition of ezetimibe to ongoing statin therapy was associated
Ezetimibe 10 mg, in	Adult patients with	6 weeks	year risk of CAD after	with a 25.3% reduction in the 10-year risk of CAD (<i>P</i> <0.001).
addition to ongoing statin	hypercholesterolemia,		6 weeks	·
therapy for 6 weeks,	with LDL-C levels			Secondary:
separate entities	exceeding the NCEP		Secondary:	Not reported
VS	ATP goals on statin therapy		Not reported	
V3	шстару			
placebo, in addition to				
ongoing statin therapy for 6				
weeks, separate entities	DD MG DG DGE	N. 214	D :	D:
Gaudiani et al ³⁹	DB, MC, PG, RCT	N=214	Primary: Percent change in	Primary: LDL-C was reduced more by the addition of ezetimibe 10 mg to
Simvastatin 20 mg daily for	Patients 30-75 years of	30 weeks	LDL-C from baseline	simvastatin 20 mg than by doubling the dose of simvastatin 20 mg
6 weeks, followed by the	age with type 2			(20.8% vs 0.3%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
addition of ezetimibe 10 mg	diabetes (hemoglobin		Secondary:	
daily for another 24 weeks,	$A_{1C} \leq 9\%$), treated with		Percent change from	Secondary:
separate entities	a stable dose of		baseline in total cholesterol, TG, HDL-	Total cholesterol was reduced more by the addition of ezetimibe 10 mg to simvastatin 20 mg than by doubling the dose of simvastatin
VS	pioglitazone (15-45 mg daily) or rosiglitazone		C, the ratios of LDL-	20 mg (14.5% vs 1.5%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	(2-8 mg daily) for at		C:HDL-C and	20 mg (1 m. /0 10 m, 1 10 m).
simvastatin 20 mg daily for	least 3 months, LDL-C		TC:HDL-C, non-HDL-	Non-HDL-C was reduced more by the addition of ezetimibe 10 mg
6 weeks, titrated up to 40	>100 mg/dL and TG		C, apo B, apo AI	to simvastatin 20 mg than by doubling the dose of simvastatin 20
mg daily for another 24	<600 mg/dL (if already			mg (20% vs 1.7%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
weeks, separate entities	on a statin therapy); patients were excluded			Apo B was reduced more by the addition of ezetimibe 10 mg to
	if they had type 1			simvastatin 20 mg than by doubling the dose of simvastatin 20 mg
	diabetes, type I or type			(14.1% vs 1.8%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	V hyperlipidaemia,			
	homozygous familial			The ratios of LDL-C:HDL-C, TC:HDL-C, and apo B to apo AI





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
	hypercholesterolemia, a history of active liver disease, uncontrolled HTN, renal dysfunction, hyperlipidemic pancreatitis, or hypercholesterolemia secondary to hypothyroidism, MI, percutaneous coronary angioplasty, stent insertion, CABG, or stroke within 3 months, and liver transaminase levels >30% above the ULN, CK >50% above the ULN, fasting plasma C-peptide ≤0.5 ng/mL, or if they were taking warfarin, cyclical sex hormones, or any potent inhibitors of CYP3A4			were reduced more by the addition of ezetimibe 10 mg to simvastatin 20 mg than by doubling the dose of simvastatin 20 mg (<i>P</i> <0.001). The reduction in HDL-C was similar in the simvastatin 40 mg and simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/20 mg groups (<i>P</i> =948). The incidence of treatment-related adverse effects was lower in the simvastatin 40 mg group than in the simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/20 mg group (10% and 18.3%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
Feldman, Koren et al ⁴⁰	DB, MC, RCT	N=710	Primary:	Primary:
			LDL-C <100 mg/dL at	Significantly more patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe
Simvastatin 10 mg daily, in	Patients 18-80 years of	23 weeks	week 5	combination therapy, regardless of the dose, achieved an LDL-C
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg	age with CHD or CHD			level <100 mg/dL at week 5, compared with patients receiving
daily for 23 weeks, separate	risk equivalent disease		Secondary:	simvastatin 20 mg monotherapy (<i>P</i> <0.001).
entities	and LDL-C≥130		LDL-C <100 mg/dL at	Cocondomy
	mg/dL and TG ≤350		study end	Secondary:
VS	mg/dL, not pregnant, liver transaminase and			Significantly more patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy, regardless of the dose, achieved an LDL-C
simuastatin 20 mg daily in				
simvastatin 20 mg daily, in	CK ≤50% above the			level <100 mg/dL at week 23, compared with patients receiving





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and	and	and Study		
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg	ULN, off all lipid-			simvastatin 20 mg monotherapy (<i>P</i> <0.001).
daily for 23 weeks, separate	lowering agents ≥6			
entities	weeks			At week 5, there was a statistically significant reduction in total
				cholesterol, non–HDL-C, apo B, ratios of TC:HDL-C, and LDL-C:HDL-C among patients randomized to the simvastatin-ezetimibe
VS				combination therapy, regardless of the dose, compared with patients
simvastatin 40 mg daily, in				receiving simvastatin 20 mg monotherapy (P <0.001).
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg				receiving sinivastatin 20 mg monotherapy (1 < 0.001).
daily for 23 weeks, separate				HDL-C was significantly increased only in the simvastatin-
entities				ezetimibe 10/20 mg group from baseline, compared with
				simvastatin monotherapy (<i>P</i> <0.05).
VS				17 ()
				At week 5, there was a statistically significant reduction in TG
simvastatin 20 mg daily for				among patients randomized to the simvastatin-ezetimibe
23 weeks				combination therapy, regardless of the dose, compared with patients
				receiving simvastatin 20 mg monotherapy (<i>P</i> <0.05).
				Treatment-related adverse effects were similar in simvastatin and
				simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/10 mg, 10/20 mg, 10/40 mg groups (7.5%,
				9.6%, 14%, and 10%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
Bays, Ose et al ⁴¹	DB, MC, RCT	N=1,528	Primary:	Primary:
		24 1	Percent change in	Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
Simvastatin-ezetimibe	Patients aged	24 weeks	LDL-C from baseline	therapy was associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from
10 mg/10 mg daily for 12 weeks, combination product	18 to 80 years with primary hypercholes-		to the end of treatment period for pooled	baseline at 12 weeks, compared with simvastatin monotherapy (53% vs 39%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
weeks, combination product	terolemia, LDL-C >145		ezetimibe/simvastatin	vs 59%, P<0.001).
VS	mg/dL but ≤150		vs simvastatin or	Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
VS	mg/dL and TG ≤350		ezetimibe monotherapy	therapy was associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from
simvastatin-ezetimibe	mg/dL; patients were		ezetimise monotherapy	baseline at 12 weeks, compared with ezetimibe monotherapy (53%
10 mg/20 mg daily for 12	excluded if they had an		Secondary:	vs 18.9%; P<0.001).
weeks, combination product	active liver disease		Change and percent	,
	and CK >1.5 times the		change from baseline	Secondary:
vs	ULN		in total cholesterol, TG,	At each corresponding dose of simvastatin, simvastatin-ezetimibe
			HDL-C, the ratios of	combination therapy was associated with a significant reduction in
simvastatin-ezetimibe			LDL-C:HDL-C and	LDL-C from baseline at 12 weeks (<i>P</i> <0.001).





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and Drug Regimen	and Demographics	and Study Duration		
10 mg/40 mg daily for 12 weeks, combination product vs simvastatin-ezetimibe 10 mg/80 mg daily for 12 weeks, combination product vs simvastatin 10 mg daily for 12 weeks vs simvastatin 20 mg daily for 12 weeks vs simvastatin 40 mg daily for 12 weeks vs simvastatin 80 mg daily for 12 weeks vs simvastatin 80 mg daily for 12 weeks vs	Demographics		TC:HDL-C, non-HDL-C, apo B, apo AI, and C-reactive protein (CRP), proportion of patients reaching their NCEP ATP III LDL-C goal of <130 mg/dL, <100 mg/dL, or <70 mg/dL at 12 weeks	Simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy was associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline at 12 weeks, compared with the next highest dose of simvastatin (<i>P</i> <0.001). Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination resulted in a greater proportion of patients reaching their NCEP ATP III LDL-C goal of <130 mg/dL, <100 mg/dL, or <70 mg/dL at 12 weeks, compared with simvastatin (92.2%, 78.6%, 38.7% vs 79.2%, 45.9%, and 7.0%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.001). Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy was associated with a significant reduction in total cholesterol, TG, the ratios of LDL-C:HDL-C and TC:HDL-C, non–HDL-C, apo B, and CRP from baseline at 12 weeks, compared with simvastatin monotherapy (<i>P</i> <0.001). Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy was not associated with a statistically significant change from baseline in HDL-C level, compared with simvastatin monotherapy (<i>P</i> =0.607). Treatment-related adverse effects were similar in the pooled simvastatin, simvastatin-ezetimibe, and ezetimibe groups, but were more frequent than placebo (14.8%, 15.1%, 12.8%, 8.1%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and Drug Regimen	and Demographics	and Study Duration		
placebo daily for 12 weeks				
Goldberg, Sapre et al ⁴²	DB, MC, RCT	N = 887	Primary:	Primary:
			Mean percent change	Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
Simvastatin 10 mg daily, in	Patients ≥18 years of	20 weeks	in LDL-C from	therapy was associated with a significant 14.8% reduction in LDL-C
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg	age with primary		baseline to the end of	from baseline at 12 weeks, compared with simvastatin monotherapy
daily for 12 weeks, separate	hypercholesterolemia,		treatment period for	(53.2% vs 38.5%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
entities	ALT and AST ≤2 times		pooled ezetimibe/	
	the ULN, no active		simvastatin vs	Secondary:
VS	liver disease, CK ≤1.5		simvastatin alone	At each corresponding dose of simvastatin, simvastatin-ezetimibe
	times the ULN;			combination therapy was associated with a significant reduction in
simvastatin 20 mg daily, in	patients were excluded		Secondary:	LDL-C from baseline at 12 weeks (<i>P</i> <0.001).
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg	if they had heart		Change and percent	
daily for 12 weeks, separate	failure, uncontrolled		change from baseline	Simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy was associated with a
entities	cardiac arrhythmias,		in total cholesterol, TG,	significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline at 12 weeks,
	history of unstable or		HDL-C, the ratios of	compared with the next highest dose of simvastatin (P <0.001).
VS	severe peripheral artery		LDL-C:HDL-C and	
	disease, MI, CABG,		TC:HDL-C, non-HDL-	Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
simvastatin 40 mg daily, in	uncontrolled, newly		C, apo B, apo AI, and	therapy was associated with a significant reduction in total
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg	diagnosed diabetes, or		CRP, proportion of	cholesterol, TG, the ratios of LDL-C:HDL-C and TC:HDL-C, non–
daily for 12 weeks, separate	change in antidiabetic		patients reaching their NCEP ATP III LDL-C	HDL-C, apo B, and CRP from baseline at 12 weeks, compared with
entities	therapy within 3 month, renal			simvastatin monotherapy (<i>P</i> <0.001).
NO	dysfunction,		goal of <130 mg/dL, or <100 mg/dL at 12	Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
VS	coagulation disorder,		weeks	resulted in a greater proportion of patients reaching their NCEP
simvastatin 80 mg daily, in	uncontrolled HTN,		WEEKS	ATP III LDL-C goal of <130 mg/dL, or <100 mg/dL at 12 weeks,
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg	were taking non-statin			compared with simvastatin (92% and 82% vs 82% and 43%,
daily for 12 weeks, separate	lipid-lowering drugs,			respectively; $P < 0.001$).
entities	immunosuppressants,			16 spectivery, 1 \ \(0.001 \).
CHICLOS	corticosteroids, other			Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
VS	potent inhibitors of			therapy was not associated with a statistically significant change
	P450 3A4 isoenzyme			from baseline in HDL-C level, compared with simvastatin
simvastatin 10 mg daily for	- 12 5 511 1 15 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			monotherapy $(P=0.53)$.
12 weeks				
				Treatment-related adverse effects were similar in the pooled





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
vs simvastatin 20 mg daily for 12 weeks vs simvastatin 40 mg daily for 12 weeks vs simvastatin 80 mg daily for 12 weeks vs ezetimibe 10 mg daily for 12 weeks vs	Demographics	Duration		simvastatin and simvastatin-ezetimibe, but were more frequent than in the ezetimibe and placebo groups (13%, 14%, 9%, and 9%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
placebo daily for 12 weeks Ose et al ⁴³ Ezetimibe 10 mg daily added to simvastatin 10 mg, 20 mg, 40 mg, or 80 mg daily, separate entities, for 14 weeks vs simvastatin 10 mg, 20 mg, 40 mg, or 80 mg daily for 14	DB, MC, RCT Patients with primary hypercholesterolemia (LDL-C between 145 mg/dL and 250 mg/dL and TG <350 mg/dL)	N=1,037 14 weeks	Primary: Change from baseline in LDL-C level, TG, total cholesterol, non- HDL, CRP, LDL:HDL cholesterol ratio, TC:HDL ratio, proportion of patients reaching LDL-C target (<100 mg/dL, or <70 mg/dL)	Primary: Across all doses, patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy experienced a statistically significant LDL-C reduction from baseline compared with the simvastatin monotherapy group (53.7% vs 38.8%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Across all doses, patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy experienced a statistically significant reduction from baseline in TG, total cholesterol, non-HDL, CRP, LDL:HDL cholesterol ratio, and TC:HDL ratio compared with the simvastatin monotherapy group (<i>P</i> <0.001).





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
weeks			Secondary:	A significantly greater proportion of patients randomized to the
			Not reported	simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy achieved LDL-C <100
VS				mg/dL, compared to the simvastatin group (79.2% vs 47.9%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
ezetimibe 10 mg once daily				1 (0.001).
for 14 weeks				A greater proportion of patients randomized to the simvastatin-
				ezetimibe combination therapy achieved LDL-C <70 mg/dL,
VS				compared to the simvastatin group (30.4% vs 7%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
placebo once daily for 14				The incidence of drug-related adverse effects was similar in the
weeks				simvastatin-ezetimibe and simvastatin monotherapy groups (7.4%
				vs 5.5%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
				Secondary:
				Not reported
Davidson et al ⁴⁴	DB, MC, RCT	N=668	Primary:	Primary:
	D : 10 6	20 1	Mean percent change	Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
Simvastatin 10 mg daily, in addition to ezetimibe 10 mg	Patients >18 years of age with primary	20 week	in LDL-C from baseline to the end of	therapy was associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline at 12 weeks, compared with simvastatin monotherapy
daily for 12 weeks, separate	hypercholesterolemia;		treatment period	(49.9% vs 36.1%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
entities	patients were excluded		Y	
	if they had heart		Secondary:	Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
vs	failure, uncontrolled		Change and percent	therapy was associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from
simvastatin 20 mg daily, in	cardiac arrhythmias, history of unstable or		change from baseline in total cholesterol, TG,	baseline at 12 weeks, compared with ezetimibe monotherapy (49.9% vs 18.1%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg	severe peripheral artery		HDL-C, the ratios of	(17.5 % 16.1 %, 1 < 0.001).
daily for 12 weeks, separate	disease, MI, or CABG		LDL-C:HDL-C and	Patients randomized to either simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/10 mg or
entities	within 6 months,		TC:HDL-C, non-HDL-	simvastatin 80 mg monotherapy experienced a 44% reduction in
	uncontrolled, newly		C, apo B, apo AI, and CRP	LDL-C from baseline at 12 weeks (<i>P</i> value not reported).
VS	diagnosed diabetes, or change in antidiabetic		CKF	Secondary:
simvastatin 40 mg daily, in	therapy within 1			At each corresponding dose of simvastatin, simvastatin-ezetimibe
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg	month, active liver			combination therapy was associated with a significant reduction in
daily for 12 weeks, separate	disease, renal			LDL-C from baseline at 12 weeks (<i>P</i> <0.001).
entities	dysfunction,			





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and	and	and Study	2314 1 011145	11454116
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
	coagulation disorder,			Simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy was associated with a
vs	unstable endocrine			significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline at 12 weeks,
	disease			compared with the next highest dose of simvastatin (P <0.01).
simvastatin 80 mg daily, in				
addition to ezetimibe 10 mg				Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
daily for 12 weeks, separate				therapy was associated with a significant reduction in total
entities				cholesterol, TG, the ratios of LDL-C:HDL-C and TC:HDL-C, non-
				HDL-C, and apo B from baseline at 12 weeks, compared with
VS				simvastatin monotherapy (<i>P</i> <0.01).
simvastatin 10 mg daily for				Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
12 weeks				therapy was associated with a statistically significant increase from
				baseline in HDL-C level, compared with simvastatin monotherapy
VS				(P=0.03).
simvastatin 20 mg daily for				Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
12 weeks				therapy was associated with a significant reduction in total
12 WCCKS				cholesterol, TG, the ratios of LDL-C:HDL-C and TC:HDL-C, non–
vs				HDL-C, and apo B from baseline at 12 weeks, compared with
				ezetimibe monotherapy (P <0.01).
simvastatin 40 mg daily for				
12 weeks				Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
				therapy was associated with a statistically significant increase from
vs				baseline in HDL-C level, compared with ezetimibe monotherapy
				(P=0.02).
simvastatin 80 mg daily for				
12 weeks				A significantly greater proportion of patients on simvastatin-
				ezetimibe therapy experienced a reduction in LDL-C >50% from
VS				baseline, compared with the simvastatin monotherapy group (P
				value not reported).
ezetimibe 10 mg daily for 12				
weeks				Treatment-related adverse effects were similar in the pooled
1.00				simvastatin and simvastatin-ezetimibe groups (72% and 69%,
VS				respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
		1		





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
placebo daily for 12 weeks	3.5			
Feldman, Davidson et al ⁴⁵	MA	N=3,083 (3 studies)	Primary: Percent change in	Primary: Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
Simvastatin-ezetimibe	Post hoc analysis of	201	LDL-C, TG, non-	therapy was associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C, TG,
10 mg/10 mg daily for 12 weeks, combination product	three randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled studies	28 weeks	HDL-C, apo B, and CRP from baseline, achievement of LDL-C	non–HDL-C, apo B, and CRP from baseline at 12 weeks, compared with simvastatin monotherapy (<i>P</i> <0.001). These affects did not differ between the older and younger patients (<i>P</i> value not reported).
vs	among patients with primary		<100 mg/dL at week- 12 among patients <65	Treatment with simvastatin-ezetimibe and simvastatin monotherapy
simvastatin-ezetimibe 10 mg/20 mg daily for 12	hypercholesterolemia		and ≥65 years of age	resulted in comparable increases in HDL-C from baseline (8% vs 7%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
weeks, combination product			Secondary:	7,0,10spectively,1 value not reported).
vs			Not reported	Significantly more patients, in all age groups, on the simvastatin- ezetimibe combination therapy, regardless of the dose, achieved an LDL-C level <100 mg/dL at week 12, compared with patients
simvastatin-ezetimibe				receiving simvastatin monotherapy (79% vs 42%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
10 mg/40 mg daily for 12 weeks, combination product				Significantly more patients, in all age groups, on the simvastatin- ezetimibe combination therapy, regardless of the dose, achieved an
VS				LDL-C level <70 mg/dL at week 12, compared with patients receiving simvastatin monotherapy (37% vs 6%; P<0.001).
simvastatin-ezetimibe				
10 mg/80 mg daily for 12 weeks, combination product				Treatment-related adverse effects were similar in simvastatin and simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy groups, regardless of dose used and age group (<i>P</i> value not reported).
vs				6.6.1
simvastatin 10 mg daily for 12 weeks				
vs				
simvastatin 20 mg daily for 12 weeks				





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
VS				
simvastatin 40 mg daily for 12 weeks				
vs				
simvastatin 80 mg daily for 12 weeks				
vs				
ezetimibe 10 mg daily for 12 weeks				
vs				
placebo daily for 12 weeks				
Ballantyne, Blazing et al ⁴⁶	DB, MC, RCT	N=788	Primary:	Primary:
			Mean percent change	Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
Simvastatin-ezetimibe 10	Patients ≥18 years with	24 weeks	in LDL-C from	therapy was associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from
mg/20 mg, combination	a LDL-C at or above		baseline to end of	baseline, compared with atorvastatin monotherapy (52.4% vs
product, daily for weeks 1-6,	drug treatment		treatment period	45.1%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
titrated to simvastatin-	thresholds established		0 1	A 1 11 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2
ezetimibe 10 mg/40 mg for weeks 7-18, titrated to	by NCEP ATP III guidelines, with		Secondary: Percent change in	Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy was associated with a significant increase in HDL-C from
simvastatin-ezetimibe 10	CAD or CAD risk		LDL-C and HDL-C	baseline, compared with atorvastatin monotherapy (12.3% vs 6.5%;
mg/80 mg for weeks 19-24	equivalent, or with ≥ 2		from baseline to the	P<0.001).
11g/00 11g 101 weeks 19-24	risk factors conferring		ends of the second and	1 (0.001).
vs	a 10-year risk of >20%		fourth (final) 6-week	Secondary:
	for CHD, and with		treatment periods	At the end of treatment period 2, patients randomized to
simvastatin-ezetimibe 10	LDL cholesterol ≥130		1	simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/20 mg and 10/40 mg experienced a
mg/10 mg, combination	mg/dL, no CHD or its			significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline, compared with the
product, daily for weeks 1-	risk equivalent, and			atorvastatin 20 mg monotherapy group (50.2%, 54.3%, and 44.3%,
6, titrated to simvastatin-	with ≥2 risk factors			respectively; $P \le 0.05$).





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and	and	and Study		
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
ezetimibe 10 mg/20 mg for	conferring a 10-year			
weeks 7-12, titrated to	risk of <20% for CHD,			At the end of treatment period 2, patients randomized to
simvastatin-ezetimibe 10	and with LDL-C≥160			simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/40 mg experienced a significant increase
mg/40 mg for weeks 12-18,	mg/dL, and no CHD or			in HDL-C from baseline, compared with the atorvastatin 20 mg
titrated to simvastatin-	its risk equivalent with			monotherapy group (12.4% vs 6.9%; <i>P</i> ≤0.05).
ezetimibe 10 mg/80 mg for	<2 risk factors and with			
weeks 19-24	LDL-C≥190 mg/dL,			At the end of treatment period 4, patients randomized to
	TG ≤350 mg/dL, ALT			simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/40 mg experienced a significant reduction
VS	or AST <1.5 times the			in LDL-C from baseline, compared with the atorvastatin 80 mg
	ULN, serum creatinine			monotherapy group (59.4% vs 52.5%, respectively; $P \le 0.05$).
atorvastatin 10 mg daily for	≤1.5 mg/dL, no active			
weeks 1-6, titrated to	liver disease, CK <1.5			At the end of treatment period 4, patients randomized to
atorvastatin 20 mg for	times the ULN, and a			simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/40 mg experienced a significant increase
weeks 7-12, titrated to	hemoglobin A _{1C} <9%			in HDL-C from baseline, compared with the atorvastatin 80 mg
atorvastatin 40 mg for	in patients with			monotherapy group (12.3% vs 6.5%; $P \le 0.05$).
weeks 12-18, titrated to	diabetes			
atorvastatin 80 mg for weeks				The safety of simvastatin-ezetimibe was observed to be similar to
19-24				that of atorvastatin monotherapy (P value not reported).
Goldberg, Guyton et al ⁴⁷	DB, MC, PG, RCT	N=1,229	Primary:	Primary:
			Percent reduction in	Patients randomized to simvastatin 20 mg/ezetimibe 10 mg therapy
VYTAL	Adult patients with	6 weeks	LDL-C level at week 6	experienced greater reduction in LDL-C from baseline at week 6 of
	type 2 diabetes			the study compared to patients receiving atorvastatin 10 mg or 20
Simvastatin-ezetimibe 10	between 18 and 80		Secondary:	mg daily (53.6%, 38.3%, and 44.6%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.001).
mg/20 mg daily for 6 weeks,	years of age with		Proportion of patients	
combination product	hemoglobin $A_{1c} \leq 8.5\%$,		who achieved the	Patients randomized to simvastatin 40 mg/ezetimibe 10 mg therapy
	LDL-C >100 mg/dL		NCEP ATP III LDL-C	experienced greater reduction in LDL-C from baseline at week 6 of
VS	and a triglyceride level		goal (<70 mg/dL),	the study compared to patients receiving atorvastatin 40 mg daily
	<400 mg/dL		proportion of patients	(57.6% and 50.9%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.001).
simvastatin-ezetimibe 10			who achieved LDL-C	
mg/40 mg daily for 6 weeks,			level of <100 mg/dL,	Secondary:
combination product			percent change from	A greater proportion of patients randomized to simvastatin 20
			baseline in HDL-C,	mg/ezetimibe 10 mg therapy achieved LDL-C<70 mg/dL compared
vs			non-HDL-C, total	to patients receiving atorvastatin 10 mg or 20 mg daily (59.7%,
			cholesterol, TG, and	21.5%, and 35%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.001).
atorvastatin 10 mg daily for			CRP	





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
6 weeks				A greater proportion of patients randomized to simvastatin 40
vs				mg/ezetimibe 10 mg therapy achieved LDL-C<70 mg/dL compared to patients receiving atorvastatin 40 mg daily (74.4% and 55.2%,
atamagatatin 20 mg daily for				respectively; <i>P</i> <0.001).
atorvastatin 20 mg daily for 6 weeks				A greater proportion of patients randomized to simvastatin 20
0 weeks				mg/ezetimibe 10 mg therapy achieved LDL-C<100 mg/dL
VS				compared to patients receiving atorvastatin 10 mg or 20 mg daily
				(90.3%, 70%, and 82.1%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.007).
atorvastatin 40 mg daily for				
6 weeks				A greater proportion of patients randomized to simvastatin 40
				mg/ezetimibe 10 mg therapy achieved LDL-C<100 mg/dL compared to patients receiving atorvastatin 40 mg daily (93.4% and
				88.8%, respectively; $P=0.07$).
				, ₁ , ₂ ,
				Patients randomized to simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy,
				at all doses, experienced a significant increase in HDL level
				$(P \le 0.001)$, a greater reduction in total cholesterol, and non-HDL cholesterol $(P < 0.001)$ compared to patients receiving atorvastatin, at
				all doses.
				Patients randomized to simvastatin 20 mg/ezetimibe 10 mg
				combination therapy experienced a significant reduction in CRP and
				triglyceride level compared to patients receiving atorvastatin $(P=0.02)$.
				(1-0.02).
				Side effects were similar in the simvastatin-ezetimibe and
40				atorvastatin groups (19.85 vs 22.7%; P value not reported).
Ballantyne, Abate et al ⁴⁸	DB, MC, PG, RCT	N=1,902	Primary:	Primary:
VYVA	Adult patients with	10 mastra	Mean percent change from baseline in LDL-	Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
VIVA	Adult patients with hypercholesterolemia,	10 weeks	C at 6 weeks	therapy was associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline at 6 weeks, compared with atorvastatin (53.4% vs 45.3%;
Simvastatin-ezetimibe	between 18 and 79		Cat o woods	P<0.001).
10 mg/10 mg daily,	years of age, with an		Secondary:	, ,
combination product for 6	LDL-C level at or		Percent change from	Secondary:





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and	and	and Study		
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
weeks	above drug treatment		baseline in LDL-C at	Simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/20 mg combination therapy was
	thresholds established		each mg-equivalent	associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline at 6
vs	by NCEP ATP III, with		statin dose comparison,	weeks, compared with atorvastatin 10 mg (50.6% vs 36.1%;
	established CHD or		percent change from	<i>P</i> <0.001), and atorvastatin 20 mg therapy (50.6% vs 43.7%;
simvastatin-ezetimibe	CHD risk equivalent		baseline in HDL-C,	<i>P</i> <0.001).
10 mg/20 mg daily,	with an LDL-C ≥130		percentage of subjects	
combination product for 6	mg/dL, no established		that reached NCEP	Simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/40 mg combination therapy was
weeks	CHD or CHD risk		ATP III LDL-C goal	associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline at 6
	equivalent, with ≥ 2 risk			weeks, compared with atorvastatin 40 mg (57.4% vs 48.3%;
VS	factors conferring a 10-			<i>P</i> <0.001).
	year risk for CHD			
simvastatin-ezetimibe	\geq 10% and \leq 20% with			Simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/80 mg combination therapy was
10 mg/40 mg daily,	an LDL-C ≥130			associated with a significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline at 6
combination product for 6	mg/dL, no established			weeks, compared with atorvastatin 80 mg (58.6% vs 52.9%;
weeks	CHD or CHD risk			<i>P</i> <0.001).
No.	equivalent, with ≥2 risk factors conferring a 10-			Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
VS	year risk for CHD			therapy was associated with a significant increase in HDL-C from
simvastatin-ezetimibe	<10% with an LDL-C			baseline at 6 weeks, compared with atorvastatin (7.9% vs 4.3%;
10 mg/80 mg daily,	\geq 160 mg/dL; and no			P < 0.001).
combination product for 6	established CHD or			1 (0.001).
weeks	CHD risk equivalent,			A greater proportion of patients reached their NCEP ATP III LDL-C
Weeks	with ≥ 2 risk factors,			goal at 6 weeks with simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy
VS	and with LDL-C ≥190			(averaged across all doses), compared with atorvastatin therapy
	mg/dL, TG ≤350			(89.7% vs 81.1%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
atorvastatin 10 mg daily for	mg/dL, ALT, AST, or			
6 weeks	CK level ≤1.5 times the			A greater proportion of patients with a CHD or a CHD risk
	ULN, serum creatinine			equivalent reached their NCEP ATP III LDL-C goals of <100
vs	\leq 1.5 mg/dL, and			mg/dL at 6 weeks with simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy
	hemoglobin A _{1C} <9.0%			(averaged across all doses), compared with atorvastatin therapy
atorvastatin 20 mg daily for	in patients with			(85.4% vs 70%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
6 weeks	diabetes			
				A greater proportion of patients with a CHD or a CHD risk
VS				equivalent reached their NCEP ATP III LDL-C goals of <70 mg/dL
				at 6 weeks with simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
atorvastatin 40 mg daily for 6 weeks				(averaged across all doses), compared with atorvastatin therapy (45.3% vs 20.5%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
0 weeks				(43.3 % vs 20.3 %, 1 < 0.001).
vs				Averaged across all doses, simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
				therapy was associated with a significant increase in the risk of
atorvastatin 80 mg daily for				ALT/AST elevation >3 times the ULN, compared with atorvastatin
6 weeks				therapy (<i>P</i> =0.006).
Constance et al ⁴⁹	DB, MC, PG, RCT	N=661	Primary:	Primary:
			Change from baseline	Across all doses, patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
Atorvastatin 20 mg daily for	Patients ≥18 years of	6 weeks	in LDL-C at 6 weeks	therapy experienced a statistically significant LDL-C reduction from
6 weeks, following a 4-week	age, with type 2			baseline compared with the atorvastatin 20 mg monotherapy group
atorvastatin 10 mg run-in	diabetes, hemoglobin		Secondary:	(<i>P</i> ≤0.001).
period	$A_{1C} \le 10\%$, ALT/AST levels <1.5 times the		Change from baseline in total cholesterol,	Caran James
VS	ULN, CK <1.5 times		HDL-C, TG, non-	Secondary: Across all doses, patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination
VS	the ULN; patients were		HDL-C, apo B, LDL-	therapy experienced a statistically significant reduction from
ezetimibe 10 mg daily added	excluded if they had		C:HDL-C ratio, and	baseline in total cholesterol, non-HDL, apo B, LDL:HDL
to simvastatin 20 mg daily,	congestive heart failure		TC:HDL-C ratio	cholesterol ratio, and TC:HDL ratio compared with the atorvastatin
separate entities, for 6	NYHA classes III- IV,			20 mg monotherapy group ($P \le 0.001$).
weeks, following a 4-week	MI, CABG or			
atorvastatin 10 mg run-in	angioplasty within 3			Patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/40 mg combination therapy
period	months, uncontrolled			experienced a statistically significant reduction in CRP from
	HTN or			baseline compared with the atorvastatin 20 mg monotherapy group
vs	endocrine/metabolic			(P=0.006).
azatimiha 10 ma dailu addad	disease, renal			Significantly, anastan managing of nations and animal to the
ezetimibe 10 mg daily added to simvastatin 40 mg daily,	dysfunction or nephrotic syndrome,			Significantly greater proportion of patients randomized to the simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/20 mg and 10/40 mg combination therapy
separate entities, for 6	alcohol consumption			achieved LDL-C <2.5 mmol/L, compared to the atorvastatin 20 mg
weeks, following a 4-week	>14 drinks per week			group (90.5%, 87%, and 70.4%, respectively; $P \le 0.001$).
atorvastatin 10 mg run-in	and treatment with			group (20.070, 0770, and 70.170, respectively, 1 _0.001).
period	excluded concomitant			The incidence of drug-related adverse effects was similar in the
	medications			simvastatin-ezetimibe 10/20 mg and 10/40 mg combination therapy
				and atorvastatin monotherapy groups (0.5%, 0.5%, and 2.3%,
				respectively; P value not reported).
Pearson, et al ⁵⁰	MA	N=4,373	Primary:	Primary:





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
Ezetimibe 10 mg daily for 12 weeks vs ezetimibe 10 mg daily added to simvastatin 10 mg, 20 mg, 40 mg, or 80 mg daily for 12 weeks, separate entities vs simvastatin 10 mg, 20 mg, 40 mg, or 80 mg daily for 12 weeks vs atorvastatin 10 mg, 20 mg, 40 mg, or 80 mg daily for 12 weeks vs placebo	Three identical, prospective 12-week studies randomizing patients to placebo, ezetimibe, ezetimibe with simvastatin or simvastatin alone, and one phase III doubleblind, active-controlled study allocating patients to simvastatinezetimibe or atorvastatin for 6 weeks	(4 studies) Up to 12 weeks	Change from baseline in LDL-C level, CRP, proportion of patients reaching LDL-C target (<100 mg/dL, or <70 mg/dL) Secondary: Not reported	Across all doses, patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy experienced a statistically significant LDL-C reduction from baseline compared with the simvastatin monotherapy group (52.5% vs 38%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Across all doses, patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy experienced a statistically significant LDL-C reduction from baseline compared with the atorvastatin monotherapy group (53.4% vs 45.3%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Across all doses, patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy experienced a statistically significant CRP reduction from baseline compared with the simvastatin monotherapy group (31% vs 14.3%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Patients on the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy experienced a similar CRP reduction from baseline compared with the atorvastatin monotherapy group (25.1% vs 24.8%; <i>P</i> value not reported). Significantly greater proportion of patients randomized to the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy achieved LDL-C <100 mg/dL, compared to the simvastatin group (78.9% vs 43.1%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Significantly greater proportion of patients randomized to the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy achieved LDL-C <70 mg/dL, compared to the simvastatin group (37% vs 5.7%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Significantly greater proportion of patients randomized to the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy achieved LDL-C <100 mg/dL, compared to the atorvastatin group (79.8% vs 61.9%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Significantly greater proportion of patients randomized to the simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy achieved LDL-C <100 mg/dL, compared to the atorvastatin group (79.8% vs 61.9%; <i>P</i> <0.001).





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
	9 1			simvastatin-ezetimibe combination therapy achieved LDL-C <70 mg/dL, compared to the atorvastatin group (36.2% vs 16.8%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary:
Piorkowski et al ⁵¹	RCT	N-56	Derimony	Not reported
Piorkowski et al	KC1	N=56	Primary: Reduction in LDL-C,	Primary: There were no statistically significant differences from baseline in
Atorvastatin 10 mg daily in addition to ezetimibe 10 mg daily, separate entities vs atorvastatin 40 mg	Patients between 18 and 80 years of age with clinically stable angiographically documented CHD and LDL-C >2.5 mmol/L despite ongoing atorvastatin 10-20 mg daily, receiving aspirin and clopidogrel; patients were excluded if they had a history of an MI or CK elevation within the last 4 weeks, recent warfarin treatment, tumors, severe renal insufficiency, active liver disease, liver cirrhosis, unexplained transaminase elevation, recent antibiotic	4 weeks	TG, change in liver transaminases, CK, HDL from baseline, percentage of patients achieving the ATP III LDL-C goal (≤2.5 mmol/L) Secondary: Not reported	liver transaminases, CK, or HDL in either group (P value not reported). Both groups exhibited a statistically significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline (P <0.005). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in the percentage of patients achieving the ATP III LDL-C goal (\leq 2.5 mmol/L) (P value not reported). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in degree of LDL-C reduction from baseline (P value not reported). Both the atorvastatin 40 mg and the combination therapy groups exhibited a statistically significant reduction in triglyceride level from baseline (P <0.005 and P <0.05, respectively). Secondary: Not reported
	therapy, or known			
D 11	alcohol abuse	N. 460	D:	D:
Ballantyne, Weiss et al ⁵²	MC, OL, PG, RCT	N=469	Primary: Percentage of patients	Primary: Significantly greater proportion of patients randomized to the
EXPLORER	Patients ≥18 years of	6 weeks	achieving the ATP III	combination therapy achieved their LDL-C goal compared to the





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and	and	and Study		
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
	age with primary		LDL-C goal (<100	monotherapy group (94% vs 79.1%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
Ezetimibe 10 mg, in	hypercholesterolemia		mg/dL) at 6 weeks	
addition to rosuvastatin 40	and CHD or clinical			Secondary:
mg daily, separate entities,	evidence of		Secondary:	Patients on the combination therapy experienced a significantly
for 6 weeks	atherosclerosis or a		Change from baseline	greater reduction from baseline in LDL-C compared to the
	CHD risk equivalent		in LDL-C, total	monotherapy group (70% vs 57%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
vs	(10-year CHD risk		cholesterol, TG, HDL,	
	score >20%), and mean		non-HDL cholesterol,	Patients on the combination therapy experienced a significantly
rosuvastatin 40 mg daily for	LDL-C between 160		LDL:HDL cholesterol,	greater reduction from baseline in total cholesterol compared to the
6 weeks	mg/dL and 250 mg/dL		TC:HDL, non-	monotherapy group (51% vs 42%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	with the two last		HDL:HDL, apo B, apo	
	measurements within		AI, CRP	Patients on the combination therapy experienced a significantly
	15% of each other, and			greater reduction from baseline in non-HDL cholesterol compared
	TG <400 mg/dL;			to the monotherapy group (65% vs 52%; P<0.001).
	patients were excluded			
	if they were women on			Patients on the combination therapy experienced a significantly
	hormonal therapy,			greater reduction from baseline in TG compared to the monotherapy
	taking statins within 6			group (35% vs 25%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	weeks, potent CYP3A4			
	inhibitors within 5			Patients on the combination therapy experienced a significantly
	weeks, oral			greater reduction from baseline in LDL:HDL cholesterol compared
	corticosteroids started			to the monotherapy group (72% vs 60% ; $P<0.001$).
	within 6 weeks or			
	verapamil within 4			Patients on the combination therapy experienced a significantly
	days of study onset;			greater reduction from baseline in TC:HDL cholesterol compared to
	patients were also			the monotherapy group (56% vs 45%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	excluded if they had			
	ALT/AST or CK >1.5			Patients on the combination therapy experienced a significantly
	times the ULN, poorly			greater reduction from baseline in non-HDL/HDL cholesterol
	controlled, newly			compared to the monotherapy group (67% vs 55%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	diagnosed diabetes			
	type 1 or 2, or had			Patients on the combination therapy experienced a significantly
	changed their			greater reduction from baseline in apo B compared to the
	antidiabetic therapy			monotherapy group (56% vs 45%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	within 3 months of			





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
	baseline, had uncontrolled HTN, or body mass index ≥30 kg/m ²			Patients on the combination therapy experienced a significantly greater reduction from baseline in CRP compared to the monotherapy group (46% vs 29%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
				There was no statistically significant difference in HDL cholesterol increase (P =0.151) or apo AI reduction (P =0.202) between the combination therapy and rosuvastatin monotherapy groups.
				The frequency and types of adverse events were similar across the combination and monotherapy groups (31.5% and 33.5%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
Farnier et al ⁵³	DB, I, MC, PG, RCT	N=611	Primary:	Primary:
Simvastatin-ezetimibe 10 mg/20 mg for 12 weeks, combination product	Patients ≥18 years of age with mixed hypercholesterolemia,	12 weeks	Percent change from baseline in LDL-C Secondary:	Simvastatin-ezetimibe/fenofibrate group exhibited significant reduction in LDL-C from baseline compared with the fenofibrate monotherapy group (45.8% vs 15.7%; <i>P</i> <0.05).
vs	no CHD or a CHD risk equivalent disease (except for diabetes),		Percent change from baseline in total cholesterol, TG, HDL,	There was no significant difference between LDL-C reduction seen with the simvastatin-ezetimibe/fenofibrate therapy and simvastatin-ezetimibe therapy (45.8% vs 47.1%; <i>P</i> >0.2).
simvastatin-ezetimibe	or 10-year CHD risk		non-HDL cholesterol,	
10 mg/20 mg, combination product, in addition to fenofibrate 160 mg for 12 weeks	score >20% according to the NCEP ATP III criteria		LDL:HDL cholesterol, TC:HDL, non- HDL/HDL, apo B	Secondary: Simvastatin-ezetimibe/fenofibrate group exhibited significant reduction from baseline in non-HDL cholesterol, TG, and apo B compared with the other treatment groups (<i>P</i> <0.01).
vs				There was no significant difference between total cholesterol reduction seen with the simvastatin-ezetimibe/fenofibrate therapy
fenofibrate 160 mg for 12 weeks				and simvastatin-ezetimibe therapy (38.7% vs 35.4%; <i>P</i> >0.05).
vs				Simvastatin-ezetimibe/fenofibrate group exhibited significant increase from baseline in HDL cholesterol compared with the simvastatin-ezetimibe group (18.7% vs 9.3%; <i>P</i> <0.01).
placebo for 12 weeks				
				Simvastatin-ezetimibe/fenofibrate group exhibited significant reduction from baseline in LDL:HDL cholesterol, TC:HDL





Study and	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		compared with the simulatetin exating its aroun (P-0.02)
				compared with the simvastatin-ezetimibe group (P =0.03).
				There was no significant difference between the percentage of patients able to reach their LDL-C goal with the simvastatin-
				ezetimibe/fenofibrate therapy and simvastatin-ezetimibe therapy (88.5% vs 92.9%; <i>P</i> value not reported).
Kastelein et al ⁵⁴	DB, MC, PRO, RCT	N=720	Primary	Primary
	, -, -, -		Change in mean carotid	The mean change in the carotid artery IMT was 0.0058±0.0037 mm
ENHANCE	Men and women	24 months	artery IMT (defined as	in the simvastatin monotherapy group and 0.0111±0.0038 mm in the
	between the ages of 30	(plus 6-week	average of means of far	simvastatin-ezetimibe group (P =0.29).
Simvastatin 80 mg daily and	and 75 years with FH	run-in period	wall IMT of right and	
placebo	regardless of their	with placebo)	left common carotid	Secondary:
	previous treatment with		arteries and bulbs and	There was no significant difference in the proportion of patients
vs	lipid-lowering drugs,		internal carotid	with regression in the mean carotid artery IMT (44.4% vs 45.3%;
	baseline LDL-C at least		arteries)	P=0.92) or new plaque formation (2.8% vs 4.7%; $P=0.20$) receiving
simvastatin 80 mg daily and	210 mg/dL without			simvastatin vs simvastatin-ezetimibe, respectively.
ezetimibe 10 mg daily	treatment; patients		Secondary:	
	were excluded if they		Proportion of patients	No significant change from baseline was reported in the mean
	had high-grade stenosis		with regression in the	maximum carotid artery IMT (0.0103±0.0049 mm and
	or occlusion of the		mean carotid artery IMT or new carotid	0.0175 ± 0.0049 mm, respectively; $P=0.27$).
	carotid artery, history of carotid			No significant shanges were shoomed between study arrays
	endarterectomy or		artery plaques of more than 1.3 mm, change	No significant changes were observed between study groups regarding mean measures of IMT of the common carotid artery
	carotid stenting,		from baseline in mean	(P =0.93), carotid bulb (P =0.37), internal carotid artery (P =0.21) and
	homozygous FH,		maximal carotid artery	femoral artery $(P=0.16)$ or average of the mean values for carotid
	NYHA class III or IV		IMT and average mean	and femoral artery IMT (P =0.15).
	congestive heart		IMT of carotid and	
	failure, cardiac		common femoral	After 24 months, mean LDL-C decreased by 39.1 mg/dL in the
	arrhythmia, angina		arteries, lipid	simvastatin group and by 55.6 mg/dL in the combination group
	pectoris or recent		parameters, CRP,	(between-group difference of 16.5%; <i>P</i> <0.01).
	cardiovascular events		adverse events	
				Reductions in TG (between-group difference of 6.6%; P<0.01) and
				CRP (between-group difference of 25.7%; <i>P</i> <0.01) were
				significantly higher with simvastatin-ezetimibe than simvastatin
				alone.





Study	Study Design	Sample Size	End Points	Results
and	and	and Study		
Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration		
				Adverse events (29.5% vs 34.2%; <i>P</i> =0.18) and discontinuation rates (9.4% vs 8.1%; <i>P</i> =0.56) were similar between simvastatin
H				monotherapy and the combination therapy.
Homozygous Familial Hyper Gagné et al ⁵⁵	DB, MC, RCT	N=50	D:	l n ·
Statin 40 mg for up to 14	Patients ≥12 years old	26 weeks	Primary: Percent change in LDL-C from baseline	Primary: LDL-C was reduced more by the addition of ezetimibe 10 mg to the statin than by doubling the dose of statin (20.7% vs 6.7%; <i>P</i> =0.007).
weeks, followed by the addition of ezetimibe 10 mg daily for another 12 weeks,	(or with body weight ≥40 kg) with HoFH, LDL-C ≥100 mg/dL		to the end of treatment period	Secondary: Total cholesterol was reduced more by the addition of ezetimibe 10
separate entities	and TG ≤350 mg/dL (if on atorvastatin or		Secondary: Percent change from	mg to the statin than by doubling the dose of statin (18.7% vs 5.3%; $P<0.01$).
vs statin 40 mg for up to 14 weeks, followed by titration to 80 mg daily and addition of ezetimibe 10 mg daily for another 12 weeks, separate entities vs statin 40 mg for up to 14 weeks, followed by titration to 80 mg daily	simvastatin 40 mg/day); patients were excluded if they had liver disease, ALT or AST >2 times the ULN, significant renal disease, unstable coronary syndromes or advanced congestive heart failure, or ongoing treatment with fibric acid derivatives		baseline in total cholesterol, TG, HDL- C, the ratios of LDL- C:HDL-C and TC:HDL-C, non-HDL- C, apo B, apo AI, and CRP	There was no statistically significant difference in any of the other secondary outcome measures between the two groups (P >0.05).
Statins used in the study included simvastatin and atorvastatin.				

Study abbreviations: CI=confidence interval, CS=comparative study, DB=double blind, DD=double dummy, ER=extended release, ES=extension study, FU=follow-up, HR=hazard ratio, I=international, MA=meta-analysis, MC=multicenter, OR=odds ratio, OL=open label, PC=placebo-controlled, PG=parallel group, PRO=prospective trial, RCT=randomized controlled trial, RR=risk ratio, SB=single blind, SA=subanalysis

Miscellaneous abbreviations: ALT=alanine transaminase, ACS=acute coronary syndrome, AMI=acute myocardial infarction, AST=aspartate transaminase, DBP=diastolic blood pressure, CABG=coronary artery bypass graft, CAD=coronary artery disease, CHD=coronary heart disease, CK=creatine kinase, CRP=C-reactive protein, CVD=cerebrovascular disease, FBG=fasting blood





glucose, HDL-C=high density lipoprotein cholesterol, HTN=hypertension, LDL-C=low density lipoprotein cholesterol, MI=myocardial infarction, NCEP ATP =National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel, NYHA=New York Heart Association, PCI=percutaneous intervention, TC=total cholesterol, TG=triglycerides, upper limit of normal





IX. Conclusions

The combination HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) are FDA-approved for the treatment of primary hypercholesterolemia. 7,10,11 Atorvastatin-amlodipine and lovastatin-niacin combination products are also indicated for the prevention of cardiovascular events. 9,10 Simvastatin-ezetimibe is not FDA-approved for either primary or secondary prevention of cardiovascular events. All products are formulated for once-daily oral administration. None of the products in this class are available generically. In general, the pharmacokinetic, pharmacologic, druginteraction, and side-effect parameters with the combination statins are similar to their separate constituents.

The combination statins have demonstrated a significant benefit in reducing total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides, and increasing high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). Statins are used as first-line agents for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia and prevention of cardiovascular events. Niacin may increase HDL-C and lower triglycerides to a greater degree compared to statin monotherapy. When used in combination with statin therapy, patients evaluated in clinical studies were able to achieve greater LDL-C reduction compared to either niacin or statin monotherapy. Ezetimibe may be used as adjunctive therapy to statins in helping patients reach their NCEP ATP III targets for lipid levels. A.5

Although studies have shown that the combination of ezetimibe and a statin is more efficacious in improving lipid parameters than monotherapy with either agent, the recently published results of the ENHANCE trial (Effect of Combination Ezetimibe and High-Dose Simvastatin vs Simvastatin Alone on the Atherosclerotic Process in Patients with Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia) did not show that these reductions led to better clinical outomes. The ENHANCE trial consisted of 720 patients with familial hypercholesterolemia with a primary end point of mean change in the intima-media thickness measured at three sites in the carotid artery. No significant difference was found in this primary end point between the treatment groups (simvastatin-ezetimibe 80/10 mg compared to patients treated with simvastatin 80 mg alone) during the two-year study period. Combination therapy with ezetimibe and simvastatin significantly lowered LDL-C by 16.5% compared to simvastatin alone. Additional studies are necessary to determine if the combination of ezetimibe plus a statin results in better clinical outcomes since no trial has yet demonstrated a reduction of cardiovascular outcomes with either ezetimibe alone or in combination therapy with a statin. S6,57

The NCEP ATP III guidelines designate statins as first-line agents for the treatment of patients with hypercholesterolemia, failing therapeutic lifestyle modification, at high risk for cardiovascular events as well as patients suffering from heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. Therapy should be adjusted to the recommended LDL-C goal <100 mg/dL in high-risk patients; however, an LDL-C goal of <70 mg/dL can be a therapeutic option for patients with coronary heart disease or those at very high risk. If LDL-C goal is not reached after 6 weeks of statin therapy, either an elevation of dose or the addition of a second agent, such as ezetimibe or niacin, is (according to current guidelines)appropriate. Furthermore, niacin may be preferred among patients with high triglycerides or low HDL-C levels. The European Guidelines on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention suggest that ezetimibe can be used in patients with active liver disease. Otherwise, ezetimibe's primary beneficial effect is add-on therapy to statins. The guidelines do not directly address the role of statin fixed-dose combination products.

X. Recommendations

In recognition that studies have confirmed that the efficacy and safety of the combination products are similar to the individual agents when administered separately and that the lipid-lowering aspects of the drugs in this class are comparable, no changes are recommended to the current approval criteria.

Advicor® and Simcor® are preferred on The Office of Vermont Health Access (OVHA) preferred drug list.

Caduet ® requires prior authorization with the following approval criteria:

• The prescriber must provide a clinically valid reason for the use of the requested medication.





Vytorin® requires prior authorization with the following approval criteria:

• The patient has had an inadequate response to both generic simvastatin and Crestor[®].

References

- Cardiovascular drugs 24:00, Antilipemic agents 24:06, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors 24:06.08. In: McEvoy GK, editor; American Hospital Formulary Service. AHFS drug information 2007 [monograph on the Internet]. Bethesda (MD): American Society of Health-System Pharmacists; 2007 [cited 2008 Jan 30]. Available from: http://online.statref.com.
- Cardiovascular drugs 24:00, Antilipemic agents 24:06, Antilipemic agents, miscellaneous 24:06.92. In: McEvoy GK, editor; American Hospital Formulary Service. AHFS drug information 2007 [monograph on the Internet]. Bethesda (MD): American Society of Health-System Pharmacists; 2007 [cited 2008 Jan 30]. Available from: http://online.statref.com.
- 3. Talbert RL. Hyperlipidemia. In: Dipiro JT, Talbert RL, Yee GC, Matzke GR, Wells BG, Posey LM, editors. Pharmacotherapy: a pathophysiologic approach. 6th Edition. New York (NY): McGraw-Hill; 2005; 429-52.
- 4. Cardiovascular drugs 24:00, Antilipemic agents 24:06, Cholesterol absorption inhibitors 24:06.05. In: McEvoy GK, editor; American Hospital Formulary Service. AHFS drug information 2007 [monograph on the Internet]. Bethesda (MD): American Society of Health-System Pharmacists; 2007 [cited 2008 Jan 30]. Available from: http://online.statref.com.
- 5. Zetia® [package insert]. North Wales (PA): Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals. 2008 Feb.
- 6. Micromedex Healthcare Series [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Micromedex; 2007 [cited 2008 Jan 30]. Available from: http://www.thomsonhc.com/.
- 7. Vytorin® [package insert]. North Wales (PA): Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; 2007 May.
- 8. Cardiovascular drugs 24:00, Calcium-channel blocking agents 24:28, Dihydropyridines 24:28.08. In: McEvoy GK, editor; American Hospital Formulary Service. AHFS drug information 2007 [monograph on the Internet]. Bethesda (MD): American Society of Health-System Pharmacists; 2007 [cited 2008 Mar 13]. Available from: http://online.statref.com.
- 9. Norvasc[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Pfizer Inc; 2006 Aug.
- 10. Caduet® [package insert]. New York (NY): Pfizer Inc; 2007 Dec.
- 11. Advicor® [package insert]. North Chicago (IL): Abbott Laboratories; 2007 Aug.
- 12. Drugs@FDA [database on the Internet]. Rockville (MD): Food and Drug Administration (US), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research; 2008 [cited 2008 Mar 13]. Available from: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm.
- 13. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Merz CN, Brewer HB Jr, Clark LT, Hunninghake DB, et al; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American College of Cardiology Foundation; American Heart Association. Implications of recent clinical trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines. Circulation. 2004 Jul 13;110(2):227-39. Available from: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/cholesterol/atp3upd04.htm.
- 14. National Institutes of Health: National Cholesterol Education Program. Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report [guideline on the Internet]. Circulation. 2002 Dec 17 [cited 2007 Dec 26];106(25):3143-421. Available from: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/cholesterol/atp3full.pdf.
- 15. Smith SC Jr, Allen J, Blair SN, Bonow RO, Brass LM, Fonarow GC, et al; American Heart Association; American College of Cardiology; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. AHA/ACC guidelines for secondary prevention for patients with coronary and other atherosclerotic vascular disease: 2006 update: endorsed by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Circulation. 2006 May 16;113(19):2363-72. Available from: http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=3004572.
- 16. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Healthcare guideline: lipid management in adults [guideline on the Internet]. 10th ed. Bloomington (MN): Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement; 2007 Jun [cited 2007 Dec 19]. Available from: http://www.icsi.org/lipid management 3/lipid management in adults 4.html.
- 17. McCrindle BW, Urbina EM, Dennison BA, Jacobson MS, Steinberger J, Rocchini AP, et al; American Heart Association. Drug therapy of high-risk lipid abnormalities in children and adolescents: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Atherosclerosis, Hypertension, and Obesity in Youth Committee, Council of





- Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, with the Council on Cardiovascular Nursing. Circulation. 2007 Apr 10:115(14):1948-67. Available from: http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=3004597.
- 18. Graham I, Atar D, Borch-Johnsen K, Boysen G, Burell G, Cifkova R, et al. European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: Fourth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and other societies on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2007 Sep;14 Suppl 2:S1-113. Available from: http://www.escardio.org/knowledge/guidelines/.
- 19. Lipitor[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Pfizer Inc; 2007 Nov.
 20. Mevacor[®] [package insert]. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck & Co, Inc; 2007 Dec.
- 21. Altoprev[®] [package insert]. Atlanta (GA): Sciele Pharma, Inc; 2006 Dec.
- 22. Zocor[®] [package insert]. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck & Co, Inc; 2007 May.
- 23. Niaspan[®] [package insert on the Internet]. Cranbury (NJ): Kos Pharmaceuticals, Inc; 2007 [approved 2007 Dec 18; cited 2007 Feb 27]. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2007/020381s034lbl.pdf.
- 24. Drug information, In: Rose BD, editor, UpToDate [database on the Internet], Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2007 [cited 2008 Jan 30]. Available from: http://www.utdol.com/utd/index.do.
- 25. Drug Facts and Comparisons 4.0 [database on the internet]. St. Louis: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2007 [cited 2008 Jan 30]. Available from: http://online.factsandcomparisons.com.
- 26. Preston RA, Harvey P, Herfert O, Dykstra G, Jukema JW, Sun F, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and pharmacodynamic interaction of coadministered amlodipine and atorvastatin in 1660 patients with concomitant hypertension and dyslipidemia: the respond trial. J Clin Pharmacol. 2007 Dec;47(12):1555-
- 27. Flack JM, Victor R, Watson K, Ferdinand KC, Saunders E, Tarasenko L, et al. Improved attainment of blood pressure and cholesterol goals using single-pill amlodipine/atorvastatin in African Americans: the CAPABLE trial. Mayo Clin Proc. 2008 Jan;83(1):35-45.
- 28. Messerli FH, Bakris GL, Ferrera D, Houston MC, Petrella RJ, Flack JM, et al. Efficacy and safety of coadministered amlodipine and atorvastatin in patients with hypertension and dyslipidemia: results of the AVALON trial. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2006 Aug;8(8):571-81.
- 29. Sharma M, Sharma DR, Singh V, Panwar RB, Hira HS, Mohan B, et al. Evaluation of efficacy and safety of fixed dose lovastatin and niacin(ER) combination in Asian Indian dyslipidemic patients: a multicentric study. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2006;2(1):87-93.
- 30. Bays HE, Dujovne CA, McGovern ME, White TE, Kashyap ML, Hutcheson AG, et al. Comparison of once-daily, niacin extended-release/lovastatin with standard doses of atorvastatin and simvastatin (the ADvicor Versus Other Cholesterol-Modulating Agents Trial Evaluation [ADVOCATE]). Am J Cardiol. 2003 Mar 15;91(6):667-72.
- 31. McKenney JM, Jones PH, Bays HE, Knopp RH, Kashyap ML, Ruoff GE, et al. Comparative effects on lipid levels of combination therapy with a statin and extended-release niacin or ezetimibe versus a statin alone (the COMPELL study). Atherosclerosis. 2007 Jun;192(2):432-7.
- 32. Rodney RA, Sugimoto D, Wagman B, Zieve F, Kerzner B, Strony J, et al. Efficacy and safety of coadministration of ezetimibe and simvastatin in African-American patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. J Natl Med Assoc. 2006 May:98(5):772-8.
- 33. Patel JV, Hughes EA. Efficacy, safety and LDL-C goal attainment of ezetimibe 10 mg-simvastatin 20 mg vs placebosimvastatin 20 mg in UK-based adults with coronary heart disease and hypercholesterolaemia. Int J Clin Pract. 2006 Aug;60(8):914-21.
- 34. Masana L, Mata P, Gagné C, Sirah W, Cho M, Johnson-Levonas AO, et al. Long-term safety and, tolerability profiles and lipid-modifying efficacy of ezetimibe coadministered with ongoing simvastatin treatment: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 48-week extension study. Clin Ther. 2005 Feb;27(2):174-84.
- 35. Denke M, Pearson T, McBride P, Gazzara RA, Brady WE, Tershakovec AM. Ezetimibe added to ongoing statin therapy improves LDL-C goal attainment and lipid profile in patients with diabetes or metabolic syndrome. Diab Vasc Dis Res. 2006 Sep;3(2):93-102.
- 36. Pearson T, Denke M, McBride P, Battisti WP, Brady WE, Palmisano J. Effectiveness of the addition of ezetimibe to ongoing statin therapy in modifying lipid profiles and attaining low-density lipoprotein cholesterol goals in older and elderly patients: subanalyses of data from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Geriatr Pharmacother. 2005 Dec;3(4):218-28.
- 37. Chenot F, Montant PF, Marcovitch O, Blaimont M, de Meester A, Descamps OS. Co-administration of ezetimibe and simvastatin in acute myocardial infarction. Eur J Clin Invest. 2007 May;37(5):357-63.





- 38. Sampalis JS, Bissonnette S, Habib R, Boukas S. Reduction in estimated risk for coronary artery disease after use of ezetimibe with a statin. Ann Pharmacother. 2007 Sep;41(9):1345-51.
- 39. Gaudiani LM, Lewin A, Meneghini L, Perevozskaya I, Plotkin D, Mitchel Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of ezetimibe co-administered with simvastatin in thiazolidinedione-treated type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2005 Jan;7(1):88-97.
- 40. Feldman T, Koren M, Insull W Jr, McKenney J, Schrott H, Lewin A, et al. Treatment of high-risk patients with ezetimibe plus simvastatin co-administration versus simvastatin alone to attain National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III low-density lipoprotein cholesterol goals. Am J Cardiol. 2004 Jun 15;93(12):1481-
- 41. Bays HE, Ose L, Fraser N, Tribble DL, Quinto K, Reyes R, et al. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, factorial design study to evaluate the lipid-altering efficacy and safety profile of the ezetimibe/simvastatin tablet compared with ezetimibe and simvastatin monotherapy in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. Clin Ther. 2004 Nov;26(11):1758-73.
- 42. Goldberg AC, Sapre A, Liu J, Capece R, Mitchel YB. Efficacy and safety of ezetimibe coadministered with simvastatin in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Mayo Clin Proc. 2004 May;79(5):620-9.
- 43. Ose L, Johnson-Levonas A, Reyes R, Lin J, Shah A, Tribble D, et al. A multi-centre, randomized, double-blind 14-week extension study examining the long-term safety and efficacy profile of the ezetimibe/simvastatin combination tablet. Int J Clin Pract. 2007 Sep;61(9):1469-80.
- 44. Davidson MH, McGarry T, Bettis R, Melani L, Lipka LJ, LeBeaut AP, et al. Ezetimibe coadministered with simvastatin in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002 Dec 18;40(12):2125-34.
- 45. Feldman T, Davidson M, Shah A, Maccubbin D, Meehan A, Zakson M, et al. Comparison of the lipid-modifying efficacy and safety profiles of ezetimibe coadministered with simvastatin in older versus younger patients with primary hypercholesterolemia: a post Hoc analysis of subpopulations from three pooled clinical trials. Clin Ther. 2006 Jun;28(6):849-59.
- 46. Ballantyne CM, Blazing MA, King TR, Brady WE, Palmisano J. Efficacy and safety of ezetimibe co-administered with simvastatin compared with atorvastatin in adults with hypercholesterolemia. Am J Cardiol. 2004 Jun 15;93(12):1487-94.
- 47. Goldberg RB, Guyton JR, Mazzone T, Weinstock RS, Polis A, Edwards P, et al. Ezetimibe/simvastatin vs atorvastatin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypercholesterolemia: the VYTAL study. Mayo Clin Proc. 2006 Dec;81(12):1579-88.
- 48. Ballantyne CM, Abate N, Yuan Z, King TR, Palmisano J. Dose-comparison study of the combination of ezetimibe and simvastatin (Vytorin) versus atorvastatin in patients with hypercholesterolemia: the Vytorin Versus Atorvastatin (VYVA) study. Am Heart J. 2005 Mar;149(3):464-73.
- 49. Constance C, Westphal S, Chung N, Lund M, McCrary Sisk C, Johnson-Levonas AO, et al. Efficacy of ezetimibe/simvastatin 10/20 and 10/40 mg compared with atorvastatin 20 mg in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007 Jul;9(4):575-84.
- 50. Pearson T, Ballantyne C, Sisk C, Shah A, Veltri E, Maccubbin D. Comparison of effects of ezetimibe/simvastatin versus simvastatin versus atorvastatin in reducing C-reactive protein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels. Am J Cardiol. 2007 Jun 15;99(12):1706-13.
- 51. Piorkowski M, Fischer S, Stellbaum C, Jaster M, Martus P, Morguet AJ, et al. Treatment with ezetimibe plus low-dose atorvastatin compared with higher-dose atorvastatin alone: is sufficient cholesterol-lowering enough to inhibit platelets? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007 Mar 13;49(10):1035-42.
- 52. Ballantyne CM, Weiss R, Moccetti T, Vogt A, Eber B, Sosef F, et al. Efficacy and safety of rosuvastatin 40 mg alone or in combination with ezetimibe in patients at high risk of cardiovascular disease (results from the EXPLORER study). Am J Cardiol. 2007 Mar 1;99(5):673-80.
- 53. Farnier M, Roth E, Gil-Extremera B, Mendez GF, Macdonell G, Hamlin C, et al. Efficacy and safety of the coadministration of ezetimibe/simvastatin with fenofibrate in patients with mixed hyperlipidemia. Am Heart J. 2007 Feb;153(2):335.e1-8.
- 54. Kastelein JJ, Akdim F, Stroes ES, Zwinderman AH, Bots ML, Stalenhoef AF, et al; ENHANCE Investigators. Simvastatin with or without ezetimibe in familial hypercholesterolemia. N Engl J Med. 2008 Apr 3;358(14):1431-43. Epub 2008 Mar 30.
- 55. Gagné C, Gaudet D, Bruckert E. Efficacy and safety of ezetimibe coadministered with atorvastatin or simvastatin in patients with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. Circulation. 2002 May 28;105(21):2469-75.





- 56. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Early communication about an ongoing data review for ezetimibe/simvastatin (marketed as Vytorin), ezetimibe (marketed as Zetia), and simvastatin (marketed as Zocor) [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Food and Drug Administration (US), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research; 2008 Jan 25 [cited 2008 Mar 13]. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/cder/drug/early_comm/ezetimibe_simvastatin.htm.
- 57. American Heart Association. Statement from the American Heart Association on ENHANCE study results [Internet]. Dallas (TX): American Heart Association; 2008 Jan 15 [cited 2008 Mar 13]. Available from: http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=3053094.



